

Table 2: Mass no.1 material

Sr. No	Thickness (mm)	Deformation (m)	Stress (Max) N/m ²
1	4	3.1398 X 10 ⁻⁷	1.1923
2	6	2.0927 X 10 ⁻⁷	8.246
3	8	1.5693 X 10 ⁻⁷	5.896
4	10	1.2553 X 10 ⁻⁷	4.811
5	12	1.0456 X 10 ⁻⁷	3.8064

4.2 Design of Mass-02:

The mass -02 is a link that is subjected to direct tensile load in the form of pull = 48 N Material Selection:

Table 3: Mass no.2 material

Material Designation	Tensile Strength (N/mm ²)	Yield Strength (N/mm ²)
EN9	600	380

Check for failure of mass under direct tensile load at the eye end. This is the portion where the lever pin fits, the cross sectional area at this point is 576 mm², now

$$FT = \text{LOAD} / \text{AREA} \quad FT_{ACT} = 48/576$$

$$= 0.0833 \text{ N/mm}^2 \quad \text{AS } FT_{ACT} < FT_{ALL}$$

The Mass No.2 is safe under tensile load.




Figure 2: FEA Analysis of Mass No.2

Table 4: Mass no.2 material

Sr. No	Thickness (mm)	Deformation (m)	Stress (Max) N/m ²
1	4	4.8732 X 10 ⁻⁷	1.4766
2	6	3.248 X 10 ⁻⁷	0.5983
3	8	2.429 X 10 ⁻⁷	0.72404
4	10	1.944 X 10 ⁻⁷	0.57489
5	12	1.619 X 10 ⁻⁷	0.48529

5. Analytical Calculations

Observation data:

For the Analytical analysis observation data are given below:

- 1] Load (kg) = 0.33
- 2] Acceleration (m/s²) = 9.81
- 3] Mass (kg) = 0.033
- 4] Radius of mass (R_{cc}) in meter = 0.056
- 5] Radius of lobe (R_l) in meter = 0.032

The maximum torque is given by the formula:

$$T = m * \omega^2 * RCG * D \text{ (lobe offset)} \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

Where,

- T : Maximum torque (N-m)
- m : Masse (kg)
- ω^2 : Angular acceleration (m/s²)
- RCG : Radius of offset mass
- D (lobe offset) : Radius of lobe

Sample Calculations for maximum torque are given below:

Consider,

$$N_2 = 520 \text{ r.p.m.}$$

$$w = \frac{2 \pi N}{60}$$

$$w = \frac{(2) \times (\pi) \times (520)}{60}$$

$$= 54.45$$

$$\text{But, } w^2 = 2965.09 \text{ rad /sec}$$

$$\text{Also, } R_{cc} = 0.056$$

$$D_{(lobe)} = 0.032$$

$$T = m \times w^2 \times R_{cc} \times D_{(lobe)}$$

$$= 0.033639 \times 2965.09 \times 0.056 \times 0.032$$

$$= 0.18 \text{ N-m}$$

Consider,

$$N_4 = 650 \text{ r.p.m.}$$

$$w = \frac{2 \pi N}{60}$$

$$w = \frac{(2) \times (\pi) \times (650)}{60}$$

$$= 68.07$$

$$\text{But, } w^2 = 4632.96 \text{ rad /sec}$$

$$\text{Also, } R_{cc} = 0.056$$

$$D_{(lobe)} = 0.032$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 T &= m \times w^2 \times R_{cc} \times D_{(lobe)} \\
 &= 0.033639 \times 4632.96 \times 0.056 \times 0.032 \\
 &= 0.28 \text{ N-m}
 \end{aligned}$$

2. Experimental Result:

The following test results will be derived from the test and trial on IVT Drive.

6. Analytical Results

The following analytical results will be derived from calculations for maximum torque is given below:

Table 5: Analytical Results

Sr. No	Speed (rpm)	Angular Speed		Torque (N-m)
		(w)	(w ²)	
1	380	39.79	1583.4	0.10
2	520	54.45	2965	0.18
3	535	56.02	3138.6	0.19
4	650	68.07	4632.9	0.28
5	850	89.01	7922.6	0.48
6	1050	109.9	12089.5	0.73

Table 6: Experimental Results

Sr. No	Weight (kg)	Radius of Pulley (m)	Speed (rpm)	Angular speed (w)	Torque (N-m)
1	0.1	0.0375	2100	219.905	0.037
2	0.15	0.0375	1960	205.245	0.055
3	0.2	0.0375	1750	183.254	0.074
4	0.25	0.0375	1600	167.547	0.092
5	0.3	0.0375	1250	130.896	0.110
6	0.35	0.0375	1050	109.953	0.129
7	0.5	0.0375	810	84.8205	0.184
8	0.6	0.0375	650	68.0658	0.221
9	0.7	0.0375	535	56.0234	0.258
10	0.8	0.0375	520	54.4527	0.294
11	1	0.0375	380	39.7923	0.368

7. Experimental Setup

Experiment Setup of torque converter in of IVT system:

The IVT test rig consists of the following assembly give below:

1. Motor
2. Speed Regulator
3. Reduction Pulley
4. Bearing Housing-1
5. Bearing Housing-2
6. Mass-Inertia IVT
7. Brake Dynamometer pulley
8. Base frame




Figure 3: Experimental Setup of mechanical torque convertor by using IVT

1. Experimental Testing Procedure:

- a) Start motor by turning electronic speed variator knob.
- b) Let mechanism run & stabilize at certain speed (say 2100 rpm)
- c) Place the pulley cord on dynobrake pulley and add 100 gm weight into, the pan, note down the output speed for this load by means of tachometer.
- d) Add another 100 gm cut & take reading.
- e) Tabulate the readings in the observation table
- f) Plot Torque Vs speed characteristic

8. Result and Discussion

The results obtained experimentally are compared theoretically. The results are given in the form of tables. Also the results are compared in the form of graphs. Hence the verification of proposed method is done by theoretically and experimentally.

9. Summary of Results

The result correlation summarized as show in table 7


Table 7: Summary of Results correlation between theoretical and experimental

Sr. No	Speed	Analytical Results Torque (N-m)	Experimental results Torque (N-m)	% error
1	650	0.2793	0.2207	5
2	535	0.1892	0.2575	6
3	520	0.1787	0.2800	10

The error in prediction of IVT by theoretical analysis is the range of 3 to 4% and experimental analysis 5 to 20%. The propose method is confirmed by the comparing it with result of Analytical and Experimental result. This is in well agreement the acceptable limit ±10%.

10. Torque Vs Speed Characteristics

The following test results will be derived from the test and trial on IVT Drive:



Graph No. 1: Summary of Results correlation between theoretical and experimental

11. Conclusion

The IVT is infinity variable transmission of input speed to the output speed in torque convert ratio will be change. In two masses like Rectangular shape, irregular shape, the input shaft given the specific speed motor to the output result is varying different masses to determine torque converter by the Infinitely Variable Transmission (IVT). The speed difference ratios are design criteria of IVT and development in torque in the mass moment and convert different masses in linear speed.

The error in prediction of torque converter by theoretical analysis is in the range of 3 % to 15% and experimental analysis it is the range of 5 % to 20 %. The proposed method is confirmed by comparing it with results of FEA results and experimental results. The proposed method is found to be simple and accurate. The IVT is newly concept in Light and Medium vehicle on automobile industries is development research they are torque convertor in various masses with different input speed to very output speed to give the more efficient in output power.

12. Future Scope

In this transmission system to develop the maximum torque and minimum output speed. The IVT dissolve the speed variation in medium duty vehicle are used. But IVT is the more powerful as compared to CVT. The two masses profile further development of masses with mechanism, experimental analysis, FEA analysis. The linear repeated of the transmission ratio. In any technology with inherent benefits eventually reach the IVT has only just begun to blossom.

References

- [1] Dr. N. Arunkumar, Infinitely Variable Transmission Using Four Bar Mechanism, International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology (IJEST) 2014; 6(4):170-176.
- [2] Derek F. Lahr, Dennis W. Hong, The Operation And Kinematic Analysis Of A Novel Cam-Based Infinitely Variable Transmission, ASME 2006 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences & Computers and Information in Engineering Conference September 10-13, 2006, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.
- [3] Gregory F. Hickman, Kinematic Modeling and Analysis of a Cam Based CVT For a Capstone Design Project Experience, Proceedings of the 2013 ASEE North-Central

Section Conference, American Society for Engineering Education.

- [4] Amjad M. Abood, A Novel Cam-Based Infinitely Variable Transmission, Journal Of K Erbalu University, 2010; 8(4): 61-74.
- [5] Giuseppe Carbone, Modelling, Optimization and verification of power split infinitely variable transmissions, Ph.D. Dissertation, Northwestern University, 2009.
- [6] Ranbir Singh, a brief review of transmission in automobiles, International Journal of Latest Research in Science and Technology, 2012; 1(2) :222-226.
- [7] Konstantin Ivanovo, A CAD Design of a New Planetary Gear Transmission, International Journal Of Innovative Technology and Research, 2014; 4(2):1063-1067.
- [8] F.G. Benitez, J.M. Madrigal, J.M. del Castillo, Infinitely variable transmission of Ratcheting drive type based on one-way clutches, Journal of Mechanical Design, July 2004; 126:673-682.
- [9] F.A. Fitz, P.B. Pires, A geared infinitely variable transmission for automotive applications, SAE paper no. 910407, 1991:1-7.
- [10] S. Matsumoto, N. Inoue, Y. Tsukada, Continuously variable transmission for Bicycles United States Patent Application 20030221892,2003.
- [11] Douglas Magyari, Infinitely Variable Transmission in Patent Application Publication, on 01 November, 1999.
- [12] Peter Eichenberger, Dual Range Infinitely Variable Transmission, Patent Application Publication, on 07 July, 1988.
- [13] Wayne Paul Bishop, Positive Drive Infinitely Variable Transmission, Patent Application Publication, 04 August, 2011.
- [14] Lohr, Charles, Stevenson, Gregory, Infinitely Variable Transmissions, Methods, Assemblies, Subassemblies and Components, Patent Application Publication, 10 December, 2009.
- [15] Dieter Hahne, Infinitely Variable Transmission for Automotive Vehicle Driveline, Patent Application Publication, on 21 February,1984.
- [16] Paul K. Coronel, Dual Concentric Positively Infinitely Variable Rotary Motion Transmission, Patent Application Publication, on 16 November, 1992.
- [17] Brian S. Andersen presented paper on An Investigation of a Positive Engagement Continuously Variable Transmission, Brigham Young University, Harry Valentine, August 2005.
- [18] E. Faulring, The cobotic hand controller: Design, control and analysis of a novel haptic display, Ph.D. Dissertation, Northwestern University, 2005