ISSN (Online): 2347-3878, Impact Factor (2014): 3.05

Effects of Time after Harvest and Rate of Loading on Mechanical Properties of Sweet Orange (*Lemunzaki*) Under Quasi-Static Loading

*Dakogol F. A, ¹Obetta S. E, ¹Ijabo O. J

*Department of Agricultural and Bio-Environmental Engineering, Federal Polytechnic Nasarawa, Nasarawa State, Nigeria ¹Department of Agricultural and Environmental Engineering, University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria *Corresponding author: Email: Dakogolaudu@gmail.com, Mobile: +234706 321 6123

Abstract: Some mechanical properties of a local variety of sweet orange (Lemun zaki) were determined under quasi-static compression loading using Instron Universal Testing Machine at three levels of time after harvest and three rates of loading. The mean load the cultivar can withstand without imposing internal injury when fresh at loading rates of 10, 5, and 1 mm/s were 188.803 \pm 78.753, 188.552 \pm 79.034, and 187.023 \pm 76.954 N respectively. On the seventh day, the mean load was found to be 51.934 \pm 18.259, 53.928 \pm 18.809, and 52.745 \pm 18.563 N for the respective rates of loading; this further decreased to 44.399 \pm 19.663, 44.273 \pm 19.682, and 43.743 \pm 18.927 N on the fourteenth day at the same loading rates respectively. The Stress and strain, secant modulus and energy were found to vary significantly with time after harvest at $\alpha = 0.05$ at rupture point.

Keywords: Sweet Orange, Rupture force, Secant Modulus, Energy

1. Introduction

The commonly grown citrus species belong to the family *Rutacea* which contains about 150 *genera* and nearly 2000 species. The most important citrus species grown worldwide include: sweet orange (*citrus sinensis*), lime (*citrus aurantifolia*), lemon (*citrus limon*), grape fruit (*citrus paradisi*), sour orange (*citrus aurantium*); tangerine (*citrus reticulate*); Shaddock (*citrus grandis*); and tangelo, a breed of *citrus paradisi* and *citrus reticulate* [13]. In Nigeria, the total citrus production in 2013 was put at about 3,800,000 tons [2]. Sweet orange is the commonly grown species; cultivated in diverse cropping systems which include the multistoried home gardens, Cocoa plantations, food crop plots and a few pure stand citrus orchards.

Although about 80 % of the total sweet orange produced worldwide is processed into juice [13]; in the Mediterranean and African countries however, about 95 % of sweet orange is consumed fresh with the remaining locally processed as pasteurized juice [4]. Apart from juice production and being consumed fresh, Orange peel is used for commercial production of pectin, in pharmaceutical formulations [9]; as a source of animal feed [2], while its fiber can be used for modifying texture and taste of food [10].

Estimates of postharvest losses of citrus fruits due to mishandling are difficult to make but the generally accepted values are between 20-50 % in developing countries [11]. Most fruits are damaged by rough handling such as quasistatic compression and impact loading. Generally, mechanical injury causes internal cell damage whose symptoms are often not visible except in the case of strong impact. The damage often result in polymerization causing enzymic and chemical browning which takes a few hours to develop and is clearly visible when the fruit tissue is sectioned or when the skin is removed. Citrus cultivars with low resistance to compressive force often result in deformation after long term shipment thus causing rejection of the entire lot [7]. In order to be free from defects, citrus fruits must have a certain level of compressive strength to withstand transportation and handling pressures. This means that mechanical properties of citrus fruits are required for design of packaging systems, transportation and handling as well as processing equipment to minimize if not eliminate these losses.

The mechanical characteristics of C. sinensis for temperate regions are well documented [14], but are rare if not completely absent for local varieties cultivated in sub Saharan Africa, hence the near absence of adequate handling and processing equipment.

Given the growing economic and nutritional importance of the local cultivar (*Lemun Zaki*), it is imperative that mechanical properties of this cultivar be determined accurately so that handling, packaging, storage, transportation systems and processing equipment/machines are designed with utmost efficiency to minimize losses.

The objectives of this study are therefore to determine: (i) the mean force and deformation at linear limit as indices of safe loadings during handling and storage to avoid latent injury, (ii) force and deformation, stress and strain, secant modulus and energy at rupture point under quasi-static compression to bench-mark safe processing and handling at three rates of loading (10, 5, 1 mm/s) and three durations after harvest (when freshly harvested, 7 and 14 days after harvest).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

Sweet orange fruits used for this study were obtained from Kaura Citrus Farm in Toto Local Government Area of Nasarawa State, North Central Nigeria. Four trees in plots of trees typical of the variety were selected from which fruits were harvested for the tests. Some fruits were carefully handpicked from the trees while others were chipped off the three with a knife leaving a stalk 10 - 12 cm long and leaves removed [3]; this is to maintain some level of physiological freshness for tests concerning freshly harvested. The fruits were kept cooled in a fruit shed by water spray while harvesting was going on; at the end of harvest (between 1.00 - 2.00 pm), they were then packed in cardboard boxes at ambient temperature of 27 °C and 78 % relative humidity. The bottoms of these boxes were lined with foam to minimize mechanical injuries and sides perforated to reduce temperature and ethylene build up [12].

They were transported the same day to Advanced Materials Laboratory of the Engineering Materials Development Institute (EMDI), KM 4, Ondo Road, Akure, Ondo State, Southwest Nigeria and stored in a cool room maintained at about 5 $^{\circ}$ C, and 87 % relative humidity immediately upon arrival at about 8.30 pm. Tests for freshly harvested were conducted at 7.30 am the following day (about 11 hours after harvest) while other tests were conducted after 7 and 14 days respectively.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Quasi-static Compression Test

For each test the fruits are taken from the cool room and surface moisture cleaned, dimension (height and diameter) of each specimen were determined using a digital vernier caliper reading to 0.01mm and the values recorded in the micro-computer connected to the machine. Each specimen was then placed axially in the Instron Universal Testing Machine (Model 3369, No. K334; 50 kN capacity) under parallel steel flat plate (Plate 1). However, extra caution was taken to avoid spillage of citrus juice (which is acidic) on the platform of the machine by covering it with plastic sheet. The test fruit was then loaded to rupture point (plate 2) at the selected loading rate. Each test was replicated twenty (20) times.



Plate 1: Sweet Orange Loaded into the Machine along the Major Axis



Plate 2: Fruit loaded to Rupture

Parameters varied for quasi-static compression test of each sample were: rate of loading (10, 5, and 1 mm/s) and time after harvest; (freshly harvested, 7 and 14 days after harvest). Compressive load and deformation curve for each test specimen was generated automatically. Data for load and deformation, stress and strain, secant modulus, and energy, at rupture were automatically generated. However, because the machine does not generate data at linear limit, load and deformation were estimated by drawing a straight line from the origin to the point where the curve changes and such point was considered as the linear limit [6].

3. Results and Discussions

3.1 Load and Extension Curve

In fig. 1, the force – deformation curve starts irregularly at a very small force (at force less than about 3 % of the total force at linear limit), then becomes approximately linear (from 4 - 24 %), becomes irregular after linear limit; being a little bit concave toward the force axes with series of bioyield points with no well define bioyield point until rupture point, this phenomenon is similar to that of Marsh Grapefruit [5]. This can be explained in terms of the fruits internal structure.

Unlike most fruits whose internal structures are homogeneous, citrus fruits have high compartmentalized internal structure [8]. Juice sacs (which contain juice) are enclosed in a segment covered by a tough lamella; these segments are enclosed in a rind made up of *Albedo* (which has white spongy texture) and *Flavedo* (greenish part dotted with oil glands) (Plate 3).



Figure 1: A Typical Load – Deformation Curve of Sweet Orange

*Point A = linear limit: Here the Compressive Load and Extension is linear. A-B: The relationship is non-linear, characterized by series of bio-yield points until the fruit

ruptures at point B. B - C: Break Point, Fruit is completely crushed, Juice oozes out.



Plate 3: Internal Structure of Orange

At the initial application of compressive load, air and some oil are squeezed out from the rind until the rind makes a perfect contact with the compression surfaces (upper and lower contacting surfaces), this probably accounts for the initial irregular nature of the Force – Deformation curve. On further loading, the load is borne by the whole fruit with hydrostatic pressure building up in individual juice sacs (with extension of these sacs) but with all its internal composition intact; this accounts for the linear nature of the Force – Deformation curve [1].

Beyond this, juice sacs begin to burst accompanied by the extension of lamella membranes and subsequent disarrangement of the segments but with the content held up in the rind; this probably accounts for series of bioyield points and the concave nature of the curve. Rupture of the rind starts at the equator and propagates towards the axis and finally bursts resulting in sudden drop observed in the force – deformation curve [7].

3.2 Compressive Load and Deformation at Linear Limit

Table 1 shows the statistics of load and extension at linear limit. To minimize latent injury, the maximum permissible quasi-static compressive load are 188.803 ± 78.735 , 51.935 ± 18.259 and 44.398 ± 19.663 N for freshly harvested, 7 and 14 days after harvest respectively loaded at 10 mm/s representing an average reduction of about 74 % in load bearing capacity on the fourteenth day. The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) showed that the means of forces and deformation for times after harvest are statistically significant at $\alpha = 0.05$.

| Fable 1: Load and Extension of Sweet | t Orange for Different Rate | s of Loading and Time after H | Harvest at Linear Limit |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|

| | | Freshly harvested | | | 1 we | ek after ha | rvest | 2 weeks after harvest | | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------|----------|----------|---------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|
| Parameter | Rate (mm/s) | 10 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 1 |
| | No. Samp | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| | Min. Val | 45.995 | 44.488 | 43.913 | 5.563 | 5.743 | 5.892 | 13.398 | 13.435 | 13.127 |
| Load | Mean | 188.803a | 188.552a | 187.023a | 51.934b | 53.928b | 52.745b | 44.399c | 44.273c | 43.743c |
| (N) | Max. val | 300 | 299.79 | 295.44 | 64.572 | 64.571 | 64.572 | 74.163 | 74.163 | 72.002 |
| | Std. Dev. | 78.735 | 79.034 | 76.954 | 18.259 | 18.809 | 18.563 | 19.663 | 19.682 | 18.927 |
| | Min. Val | 6.174 | 6.174 | 6.174 | 7.206 | 7.206 | 7.207 | 10.487 | 10.479 | 9.999 |
| Deformation | Mean | 8.128d | 8.182d | 8.131d | 10.921e | 10.897e | 10.921e | 12.618f | 12.675f | 12.586f |
| (mm) | Max. val | 9.369 | 9.369 | 9.369 | 13.288 | 13.29 | 13.289 | 14.077 | 14.077 | 14.17 |
| | Std. Dev. | 1.067 | 1.005 | 1.16 | 2.521 | 2.499 | 2.521 | 1.109 | 1.01 | 1.181 |

NB: Means with the same letters within rows do not differ significantly at 5% level for LSD test

The results showed that for a given rate of loading, time after harvest has significant effects on both force and deformation as depicted by figs 2 and 3. The reason may be due to the fact that as the time after harvest increases, more starch in the fruit is converted to sugar similar to the case of Pomelo [8], thereby resulting in softening of the fruit tissues hence the decrease in elastic strength and increase in plasticity.





Figure 3: Variation of Deformation with Time after harvest at Linear Limit

3.3 Compressive Parameters at Rupture Point **3.3.1** Force and Deformation

Table 2 shows that at a loading rate of 10 mm/s, the minimum bursting strength of *lemun zaki* that is freshly harvested, 7 and 14 days after harvest is about 837.266 \pm 114.141, 403.167 \pm 54.924, and 350.394 \pm 64.959 N respectively. This shows that there is a reduction in the force required to process the fruit. The ANOVA showed

International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Research (IJSER)

<u>www.ijser.in</u>

ISSN (Online): 2347-3878, Impact Factor (2014): 3.05

that only time after harvest is significant for both load and deformation at $\alpha = 0.05$.

| | | Fre | eshly harves | 1 we | ek after ha | rvest | 2 weeks after harvest | | | |
|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|----------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Parameter | Rate (mm/s) | 10 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 1 |
| | No. Samp | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| | Min. Val | 511.172 | 553.947 | 378.505 | 327.397 | 327.398 | 304.383 | 226.424 | 226.423 | 212.075 |
| Load | Mean | 837.266 | 676.975 | 612.892 | 403.167 | 395.423 | 369.232 | 350.394 | 343.462 | 284.579 |
| (N) | Max. val | 1384.048 | 1010.783 | 1221.374 | 470.286 | 470.286 | 470.286 | 459.366 | 501.389 | 370.429 |
| | Std. Dev. | 114.141 | 118.011 | 121.247 | 54.924 | 47.603 | 64.518 | 64.959 | 69.922 | 50.490 |
| | Min. Val | 43.893 | 52.200 | 53.500 | 36.300 | 38.499 | 38.500 | 48.854 | 48.853 | 48.854 |
| Deform. | Mean | 64.533 | 64.958 | 68.576 | 50.324 | 54.709 | 47.426 | 57.505 | 59.134 | 61.989 |
| (mm) | Max. val | 85.000 | 83.100 | 105.069 | 65.735 | 77.200 | 64.002 | 71.458 | 71.457 | 71.458 |
| | Std. Dev. | 14.882 | 9.925 | 17.062 | 7.891 | 13.867 | 7.740 | 9.827 | 8.329 | 8.592 |

Table 2: Rupture Point Load and Deformation at Different Loading Rates and Time after Harvest

3.3.2 Stress and Strain

The statistics of stress and strain is presented in table 3. The ANOVA showed that only time after harvest is significant for both means stress and strain at $\alpha = 0.05$. For a given rate of loading, there is a general decrease in stress as the time after harvest increases (Fig. 4), meaning that as time after

harvest increases, the rupture strength of the cultivar decreases due to tissue softening. Conversely, strain increases as time after harvest increases for a given rate of loading (Fig.5) because the cell tissues become soft and hence plastic.

Table 3: Rupture Point Stress and Strain of Sweet Orange at Different Loading Rates and Time after Harvest

| | | Fres | hly harv | ested | 1 wee | k after h | arvest | 2 weeks after harvest | | |
|-----------|-------------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Parameter | Rate (mm/s) | 10 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 1 |
| | No. Samp | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| | Min. Val | 0.126 | 0.105 | 0.119 | 0.083 | 0.084 | 0.082 | 0.075 | 0.075 | 0.013 |
| Stress | Mean | 0.184 | 0.167 | 0.150 | 0.091 | 0.089 | 0.089 | 0.078 | 0.077 | 0.062 |
| (Mpa) | Max. val | 0.269 | 0.344 | 0.207 | 0.098 | 0.097 | 0.098 | 0.079 | 0.079 | 0.079 |
| | Std. Dev. | 0.058 | 0.084 | 0.028 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.021 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Min. Val | 0.623 | 0.713 | 0.720 | 0.611 | 0.693 | 0.718 | 0.748 | 0.798 | 0.717 |
| Strain | Mean | 0.736 | 0.747 | 0.750 | 0.752 | 0.833 | 0.848 | 0.879 | 0.913 | 0.956 |
| (mm/mm) | Max. val | 0.833 | 0.780 | 0.780 | 0.850 | 0.961 | 0.968 | 1.309 | 1.095 | 1.125 |
| | Std. Dev. | 0.071 | 0.028 | 0.023 | 0.076 | 0.081 | 0.101 | 0.190 | 0.083 | 0.157 |



Figure 4: Variation of Stress with Time after Harvest





International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Research (IJSER)

<u>www.ijser.in</u>

ISSN (Online): 2347-3878, Impact Factor (2014): 3.05

3.3.3 Secant Modulus and Energy

3.3.3.1 Secant Modulus

The statistics of secant modulus is presented in table 4. There is a general decrease in elasticity for a given rate of loading as the time after harvest increases meaning that as time after harvest increases, the tissues of the fruits becomes less elastic (tend to be plastic) hence the decrease in modulus of elasticity.



Figure 6: Variation of Secant Modulus with Time after Harvest

| | | Freshly harvested | | | 1 we | ek after h | arvest | 2 weeks after harvest | | |
|-----------|-------------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------|
| Parameter | Rate (mm/s) | 10 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 1 |
| | No. Samp | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Secant | Min. Val | 0.141 | 0.090 | 0.116 | 0.108 | 0.099 | 0.093 | 0.101 | 0.101 | 0.071 |
| Modulus | Mean | 0.196 | 0.177 | 0.172 | 0.122 | 0.109 | 0.108 | 0.104 | 0.102 | 0.100 |
| (Mpa) | Max. val | 0.334 | 0.210 | 0.306 | 0.157 | 0.136 | 0.130 | 0.111 | 0.104 | 0.134 |
| | Std. Dev. | 0.064 | 0.041 | 0.066 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.012 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.018 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Min. Val | 13.859 | 14.739 | 7.972 | 8.650 | 8.650 | 7.674 | 4.309 | 4.309 | 3.878 |
| Energy | Mean | 25.987 | 22.371 | 19.729 | 11.949 | 11.586 | 10.664 | 10.004 | 8.302 | 7.067 |
| (J) | Max. val | 45.644 | 35.249 | 45.677 | 15.713 | 15.713 | 15.713 | 18.251 | 16.459 | 11.094 |
| | Std. Dev. | 11.578 | 6.763 | 13.210 | 2.958 | 2.499 | 3.098 | 4.447 | 3.267 | 2.064 |

3.3.3.2 Energy

There is a general decrease in energy as the time after harvest increases for a given rate of loading (Fig. 7) meaning that as time after harvest increases, the tissues of the fruits becomes less turgid (tend to be plastic) hence the decrease in energy it can absorb before rupture.



Figure 7: Variation of Secant Modulus with Time after Harvest

These results affirmed the assertion that the rate of loading tend to have less significant effect on agricultural materials with soft tissues like fruits and vegetables [6]. Although fruits and vegetables exhibit viscoelastic behavior under mechanical loading which depends on the amount of applied force, the rate of loading is often ignored (but only reported) for practical purposes with the assumption that they are elastic [1].

4. Conclusion

In this work, it was found that *Lemun zaki* fruit can withstand a load of 188.803 \pm 78.735 N without latent injury when fresh; this dropped to 51.934 \pm 18.259 and 44.399 \pm 19.663 N in the 7th and 14th day respectively after harvest at linear limit. The Stress and strain, secant modulus and energy were found to vary significantly with time after harvest at $\alpha = 0.05$ at rupture point.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank in a special way the Management of Engineering Materials Development Institute (EMDI) Akure, Ondo State, for the use of their facilities and equipment most especially the Instron Universal Testing Machine. The contributions of members of Staff of the Institute most especially, the Department of Advanced Materials Laboratory are highly appreciated.

Reference

- J.A. Abbott, "Quality Measurement of Fruits and Vegetables," PII:S0925-5214(98)00086-6. Available: http://www.elesvier.com/locate/postharvbio. [Accessed: May, 23, 2013]
- [2] P.N. Agu; O.I.A. Oluremi; and C.D. Tuleun, "Nutritional Evaluation of Sweet Oranges (*Citrus Sinensis*) Fruit Peel as Food Resources in Broiler Production," International Journal of Poultry Science, 9 (7), pp. 684-688, 2010.

- [3] G.E. Coppock; S.L. Hedden, and D.H. Lenker, "Biophysical Properties of Citrus Fruit Related to Mechanical Harvesting," In Transactions of the ASAE. 12 (4), pp. 561 - 563, 1969.
- [4] B. Hayley, "Citrus Profile: Agricultural Marketing Resource Centre (Ag MRC), a National Information Resource for Value Added Agriculture," pp. 1-5, Available: <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/citrus_production.</u> cfm.htm. [Accessed: August, 5, 2012]
- [5] K. Kawada, and H. Kitagawa, "Deformation of 'Marsh' Grapefruit as Affected by Fruit Orientation at Packing," In Proceedings of Florida State Horticultural Science. 6 (97), pp. 138 – 140, 1984.
- [6] N.N. Mohsenin, Physical Properties of Plant and Animal Materials, Gordon and Breach Press Science Pub. Inc. New York, 1986.
- [7] F. Pallottino; C. Corrado; M. Paolo, and M. P. Mauro, "Compression Testing of Orange Fruits," Avaialable: http:// onlinelibrary.wiley.com/advanced/search/results?. [Accessed: June, 22, 2013]
- [8] S. Panmanas, and T. Charoonpong, "Physicochemical and Textural Properties of Pomelo (*Citrus Maxima*. *Merr. Cv. Kao Nam Pueng*) Fruit at Pre-harvest, Postharvest and During the Commercial Harvest Period" In The Philippine Agricultural Scientist, 95 (1), pp. 43 – 52, 2012.
- [9] S. Pranati, and M. Rishabba, "Sources of Pectin, Extraction and its Application in Pharmaceutical Industry: An Overview," Indian Journal of Natural Products and Resources, 2(1), pp. 10-18, 2011.
- [10] E. Sendra; V. Kuri; J. Fernandez-Lopez; E. Sayas-Barbesa; C. Navarro and J.A. Perez-Alvazez, "Viscoelastic Properties of Orange Fibre Enriched Yoghurt as a Function of Fibre Dose, Size and Thermal Treatment" Journal of Food Science and Technology, 4(3), pp. 708 – 714, 2010.
- 4(3), pp. 708 714, 2010.
 [11] C.J. Studman, "Fruits and Vegetables Quality", CIGR Handbook of Agricultural Engineering, IV, pp. 243 272, 1999.
- [12] R. Tabatabaekoloor, "Orange Responses to Storage Conditions and Polyethylene Wrapped Liner" Agric. Eng. Int: CIGR Journal, 14(2), pp. 127 – 130. Available: http://www.cigrjournal.org. [Accessed: June, 22, 2013].
- [13] UNCTAD, United Nation Conference on Trade and Development, "Market Information in the Commodity Area," Available: <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Marketcitrus</u>, [Accessed: May, 8, 2012]
- [14] M. M. William, "Mechanical and Physical Properties for Postharvest Handling of Florida Citrus," In The Proceedings of Florida State Horticultural Society, 99: pp. 122 – 127, 1986.

Author Profile



Dakogol Fidelis Audu, received the B. Eng'g and M. Eng'g degrees in Agricultural Engineering (Postharvest Technology) from University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Benue

State, Nigeria and The Federal University of Technology, Minna, Niger State, Nigeria in 1991 and 2002 respectively. He is presently a Principal Lecturer in the Department of Agricultural and Bio-Environmental Engineering, Federal Polytechnic Nasarawa, Nigeria. He is currently a Ph. D student in the Department of Agricultural and Environmental Engineering, University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Benue State.