

# \* Derivations in Narrings

Dr. D. Bharathi<sup>1</sup>, K. Sreenivasulu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professor, Department of mathematics, S.V.U, Tirupathi, A.P., India

<sup>2</sup>Research scholar, Department of mathematics, S.V.U, Tirupathi, A.P., India

**Abstract:** Let  $N$  be a non-commutative prime narring,  $U$  be a nonzero semi group ideal of  $N$ , and  $D \neq 0$ , a \* derivation associated with  $D$  of  $N$  such that  $D[x, y] - [x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . Then  $F$  is trivial and  $D[x, y] + [x, y] = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in U$ . Then  $D$  is trivial.

**Keywords:** derivations, \* derivations, narrings, associative and prime narrings

## 1. Introduction

When Posner [1] proved that the existence of a nonzero centralizing derivation on a prime ring forces the ring. In view of [2], Hvala [3] introduced the concept of \* derivation. Familiar examples of \* derivations are derivations, \* inner derivations and later includes left multipliers, that is an additive mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  satisfy  $d(xy) = d(x)y^*$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . The sum the two \* derivations is a \* derivation, every map of the form  $D(x) = cx^* + d(x)$ ; where  $c$  is a fixed element of  $R$  and  $D$  a derivation of  $R$  is a \* derivation ; and if  $R$  has 1.

In this paper,  $N$  will denote a zero symmetric right abelian narring with multiplicative center  $Z(N)$ , for all  $x, y \in N$ .  $[x, y] = xy - yx$  and  $x \circ y = xy + yx$ , denote the well-known Lie and Jordan products.

A nonempty subset  $U$  of  $N$  will be called a semi group right ideal (resp. left ideal). If  $UN \subset U$  ( $NU \subset U$ ). Finally,  $U$  is called a semi group ideal if it is a right as well as a left semi group ideal. A narring  $N$  is called a prime, if  $aNb = \{0\} \Rightarrow a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ , for all  $a, b \in N$ .

An additive mapping  $D: N \rightarrow N$  is said to be a right \* derivation associated with  $D$  if  $D(xy) = D(x)y^* + xD(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in N$ . (1) and is said to be a left \* derivation associate with  $D$  if  $D(xy) = xD(y^*) + D(y)x$ , for all  $x, y \in N$ . (2)

Here  $D$  is said to be \* derivation associated with  $D$ . If it is a right as well as a left \* derivation associated with  $D$ .

So many authors [4, 2, 3, 5] studied the commutativity in prime and semi prime rings admit with derivations and \* derivations. On the other wise, many results assure that prime narrings with certain constrained derivations have ring.

In this section we investigate some results of narrings satisfying certain identities involving \* derivation.

## 2. Main Theorems

**Lemma 1:** Let  $N$  be prime narring and  $D$  be a \* derivation on  $N$  associated with  $D$  on  $N$ , then

$$a(D(b)c^* + bD(c)) = aD(b)c^* + abD(c), \text{ for all } a, b, c \in N. \quad (3)$$

**Proof:** Clearly,  $D(a(bc)) = D(a)(bc)^* + aD(bc)$   
 $= D(a)(bc)^* + a(D(b)c^* + bD(c))$

$$= D(a)b^*c^* + a(D(b)c^* + bD(c))$$

On the other hand,

$$D((ab)c) = D(ab)c^* + abD(c)$$

$$= (D(a)b^* + aD(b))c^* + abD(c)$$

$$= D(a)b^*c^* + aD(b)c^* + abD(c)$$

Comparing these two expressions for  $D(abc)$  gives the desired conclusion.  $\diamond$

**Lemma 2:** Let  $N$  be a prime narring and  $U \neq \{0\}$  a semi group ideal of  $N$ . If  $D$  is a \* derivation on  $N$  such that  $D(U) = 0$  then  $D = 0$ .

**Proof:** From the hypothesis, we obtain

$$0 = D(ux) = D(u)x^* + uD(x), \quad \forall u \in U, x \in N. \quad (4)$$

$$= uD(x), \quad \forall u \in U, x \in N$$

$$= UD(x)$$

$$\text{That is } UD(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in N. \quad (5)$$

$$\Rightarrow D(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in N.$$

$$\Rightarrow D = 0. \quad \diamond$$

**Lemma 3:** Let  $N$  be a prime narring and let  $U \neq \{0\}$  a semi group ideal of  $N$ . If  $x$  b an element of  $N$  such that  $xU = 0$  or  $Ux = 0$ , then  $x = 0$ .

**Theorem 1:** Let  $N$  be a non commutative prime narring,  $U$  is a nonzero semi group ideal of  $N$ , and  $D \neq 0$ , a \* derivation associated with  $D$  of  $N$  such that  $D[x, y] - [x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . Then  $D$  is trivial.

**Proof:** From the hypothesis, we have

$$D[x, y] = [x, y] \quad (6)$$

Substitute  $y$  with  $yx$  in (6) and using it, we get

$$D[x, yx] = [x, yx]$$

$$D(xy) - D(yx) = xy - yx$$

$$D(x)y^* + xD(y) - D(y)x^* - yD(x) = xy - yx$$

Replace  $y$  by  $yx$  then

$$D(x)y^*x^* + xD(yx) - D(yx)x^* - yxD(x) = xy - yx$$

$$D(x)y^*x^* + xD(y)x^* + xyD(x) - D(y)x^*x^* - yD(x)x^* - yxD(x) = xy - yx$$

$$(D(x)y^* + xD(y))x^* - (D(y)x^* - yD(x))x^* = xy - yx$$

$$(D(xy) - D(yx))x^* = xy - yx$$

$$D(xy - yx)x^* + (xy - yx)D(x) = xy - yx$$

$$D[x, y]x^* + (xy - yx)D(x) = [x, y]$$

$$xy D(x) = yxD(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (7)$$

Again substitute  $y$  in  $nz$  in (7) and using it, we get

$$[x, n]z D(x) = \{0\}, \text{ for all } x, z \in U, n \in N. \quad (8)$$

$$x nz D(x) = nz x D(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (xn - nz)x D(x) &= 0 \\ (xnz - nxz)D(x) &= 0 \\ (xn - nx)z D(x) &= 0 \\ (x, n)z D(x) &= 0, \text{ for all } x, z \in U, n \in N. \\ (x, n)U D(x) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

That is  $[x, n]U D(x) = \{0\}$ , for all  $x \in U, n \in N$ . (9)

Since  $N$  is prime either  $[x, n] = 0$  or  $D(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in U, n \in N$ .

Therefore by the Lemma 3, in view of hypothesis, if  $N$  be a prime nearring and let  $U \neq \{0\}$  be a semi group ideal of  $N$ . If  $U \subseteq Z(N)$ , then  $N$  is commutative, contradiction  $D(U) = 0$  and so  $D = 0$  by Lemma 2,

Hence, our hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} D(xy) - D(yx) &= xy - yx \\ (D(x)y^* + xD(y) - D(y)x^* - yD(x)) &= (xy - yx) \\ (D(x)y^* - xy) &= (D(y)x^* - yx) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Replace  $y^*$  by  $y$  and  $x^*$  by  $x$

let  $B(x) = D(x) - x$  for all  $x \in U$ , and so

$B(xy) = B(x)y$ , for all  $x, y \in U$ . Then the last equality can be written as,

$$B(x)y = B(y)x, \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (11)$$

Taking  $zn^1$  instead of  $x$  in (11) and using Lemma 1. we find

$$B(z)[y, n^1] = 0, \text{ for all } y, z \in U, n^1 \in N. \quad (12)$$

Substitute  $z$  with  $yn$  in the last equality, we obtain

$$B(y)N[y, n] = 0, \text{ for all } y, z \in U, n, n^1 \in N. \quad (13)$$

It follows that

$$B(y)N[y, N^1] = 0, \text{ for all } y \in U, n^1 \in N. \quad (14)$$

Then we conclude that, by primeness of  $N$ , that either  $B(y) = 0$

or  $[y, n] = 0$  for all  $y \in U, n \in N$ , that is  $U \subseteq Z(N)$ .

If  $B(y) \neq 0$ , then  $U \subseteq Z(z)$  implies  $N$  is commutative.

If  $B(y) = 0$ , then which is contradiction.

Which complete the proof.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 2:** Let  $N$  be a non-commutative prime nearring,  $U$  a nonzero semigroup ideal of  $N$  and  $N$  admits a  $*$  derivation  $D$  associated with  $D$  such that  $D[x, y] + [x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . Then  $D$  is trivial.

**Proof:** If  $D = 0$ , then we have the desired conclusion.

Now we consider  $D \neq 0$ ,

We reach  $[x, y] D(x) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$  (by above Theorem)

Take  $yn$  in  $y$  in the last relation, we get  $[x, y]ND(x) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in N, n \in N$

Since  $N$  is prime, we get the required result by hypothesis.

The similar argument can be adapted in the  $D[x, y] + [x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in N$ .  $\diamond$

**Theorem 3:** Let  $N$  be a non commutative prime nearring,  $U$  a nonzero semi group ideal of  $N$ , and  $N$  admits a  $*$  derivation  $D$  associated with  $D$  such that  $D(x0y) - (x0y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . Then  $D$  is trivial.

**Proof:** From hypothesis, we have

$$d(x)y^* + xd(y) + d(y)x^* + yd(x) - x0y = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (15)$$

Substitute  $y$  by  $yx$  in (15), we get that

$$d(x)(yx)^* + xd(yx) + d(yx)x^* + yxd(x) - x0yx = 0$$

$$d(x)y^*x^* + xd(y)x^* + xyd(x) + d(y)x^*x^* + yd(x)x^* + yxd(x) - x(yx) - (yx)x = 0$$

$$x[d(yx) - yx] = 0$$

$$xy d(y) = -yxd(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (16)$$

Substitute  $y$  by  $nz$  in (16) and using it, we reach  $[x, n]zD(x) = 0$  for all  $x, z \in U, n \in N$

That is  $[x, n]UD(x) = \{0\}$ , for all  $x \in U, n \in N$ . (17)

Since  $N$  is prime nearring either  $[x, n] = 0$  or  $D(x) = 0$ , for all  $x, e \in U, n \in N$ .

If  $[x, n] = 0$ , then  $N$  is commutative, which is contradiction to hypothesis.

Therefore  $D(x) = 0$  that implies  $D = 0$ .

**Theorem:** let  $N$  be a non-commutative prime nearring,  $U$  a nonzero semi group ideal of  $N$ , and admit a  $*$  derivation  $D$  associated with  $D$  such that  $D(x0y) + x0y = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . Then  $D$  is trivial.

**Proof:** For any  $x, y \in N$ ,

We have  $D(x0y) - x0y = 0$

The same technique as follow in proof of Theorem 3,

We reach  $(x0y) D(x) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in N$ .

Take  $yz$  in  $y$  use in last relation, we get  $[x, y]z D(x) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in N$ .

This similar results holds in case  $D(x0y) + x0y = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in N$ .  $\diamond$

## References

- [1] E.C.Posner, a Derivation in prime rings, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol.8, pp.1093e 1100, 1957.
- [2] M.Bresar, On the distance of composition two derivation to the generalized derivation, Glasgow Mathematical Journal, vol.33, pp.89e93, 1991.
- [3] B.Hvala, a Generalized derivations in rings, Communication in Algebra, vol.26, no.4, pp.1147e 1166, 1998.View at Scopus.
- [4] M.N.Daif and H.E.Bell, a Remarkson derivation on semi-prime rings, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol.15, no.1, pp.205e 206, 1992.
- [5] M.A.Quadri, M.Shadab Khan and N.Rehman, a Generalized derivations and commutativity of prime rings, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol.34, no.9, pp1393e 1396, 2003.View at Scopus