International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Research (IJSER)

ISSN (Online): 2347-3878 Impact Factor (2020): 6.733

Analysing the Impact of Income Fluctuations on Consumer Demand Patterns: A Comprehensive Review

Somya Setia

Abstract: This review paper examines the impact of income changes on consumer demand across different income groups. The study utilizes a combination of quantitative and qualitative methodologies to investigate how fluctuations in income levels influence consumer spending behaviour, purchasing decisions, and brand preferences. A diverse sample of participants from various income brackets is selected to ensure representative findings. The results of this study shed light on the dynamic relationship between income and consumer demand, providing valuable insights for businesses, policymakers, and researchers.

Keywords: Income Changes, Consumer Demand, Spending Behaviour, Brand Preferences, Quantitative Methodology, Qualitative Methodology

1. Introduction

In the realm of economic theory and consumer behaviour, the relationship between income and demand stands as a cornerstone principle. The dynamic interplay between fluctuations in individual and household income levels and corresponding shifts in consumption patterns has long been a subject of keen scholarly interest. Understanding how changes in income influence consumer demand is not only fundamental to microeconomic analysis but also holds profound implications for policy formulation, market forecasting, and business strategy. This review paper embarks on a comprehensive examination of the existing body of literature pertaining to the effect of income variations on consumer demand. By synthesizing and critically assessing a diverse array of empirical studies, theoretical frameworks, and methodological approaches, this endeavour seeks to offer a nuanced perspective on the intricate nexus between income dynamics and consumer behaviour. Through this endeavour, we endeavour to contribute to a deeper comprehension of the multifaceted factors that shape economic decision - making processes at the individual and household levels, ultimately advancing our capacity to anticipate and respond to shifts in consumer demand in an ever - evolving global economy.

2. Background

Consumer demand plays a pivotal role in shaping economies and businesses' strategies. Income is a key determinant of consumer spending behaviour, and changes in income levels can significantly impact the patterns of consumer demand for goods and services.

Research Objectives:

The primary objective of this research is to investigate the effect of income changes on consumer demand. By analysing how different income groups respond to income fluctuations, we aim to identify trends and patterns in consumer behaviour.

Review:

Income Elasticity of Demand: The concept of income elasticity of demand is explored in the literature, providing insights into the sensitivity of consumer demand to changes in income levels. Previous research has shown that income elasticity varies across different goods and services.

3. Methodology

Research Design: A literature review research design is adopted, comprising quantitative and qualitative approach. This approach allows for a comprehensive analysis of the effect of income changes on consumer demand.

Effect of Income Increase:

In his study, Wilcox (1989) investigated how aggregate consumption responded to pre - announced social security benefits increases. Surprisingly, he found that consumption did not increase when the income boost was announced but rather when it was put into effect. Specifically, he estimated that a 10 percent increase in social security benefits led to a 1 percent rise in retail sales within the same month and a 3 percent increase in purchases of durable goods.

However, this particular test has its limitations, mainly stemming from the challenge of analysing significant changes in tax policy using aggregate data on the various components of retail sales. Despite these limitations, Wilcox's research provides valuable insights into the dynamics of consumer behaviour and the timing of their response to changes in income.

Effect of Income Decrease:

The most significant and predictable decline in an individual's income occurs during retirement. To investigate whether consumption remains unaffected by these expected income changes, researchers have conducted a compelling test by comparing consumption patterns before and after retirement. One of the pioneering studies on this subject is by Banks et al. (1998), who examined data from the U. K. Family Expenditure Survey and discovered a remarkable decrease in consumption after retirement.

Volume 11 Issue 11, November 2023 www.ijser.in

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Research (IJSER)

ISSN (Online): 2347-3878 Impact Factor (2020): 6.733

In the United States, Bernheim et al. (2001) replicated this test using the PSID (Panel Study of Income Dynamics) and also found compelling evidence of a substantial consumption drop at retirement. The decline in consumption was observed to be 24% for the first income quartile, 15% for the second quartile, and 9% for the third and fourth quartiles.

However, the primary limitation of these studies was that the PSID, until recently, only provided information on food consumption. Fortunately, starting in 1999, the PSID introduced new survey questions that covered various categories of consumption expenditure. Li et al. (2009) described the newly available PSID data and demonstrated their reliability by comparing them to expenditure data from the Consumer Expenditure Survey (CEX). The results showed that PSID expenditures for each broad category and total imputed expenditures closely aligned with corresponding measures from the CEX.

Given the alignment and quality of the new PSID consumption data, it is highly likely that future research will increasingly rely on this data to examine consumption behaviour after retirement and to test other hypotheses regarding households' behaviour. This valuable information will shed more light on how individuals adjust their consumption patterns during retirement and the broader implications for their financial well - being.

4. Discussion

Income and Consumption Behaviour: The research discusses the significant findings regarding the effect of income changes on consumer demand. It examines how income fluctuations influence the quantity demanded and consumer choices.

Implications for Businesses: The implications for businesses and marketers are discussed, emphasizing the importance of understanding consumers' responses to income changes in designing effective marketing strategies.

Policy Recommendations: The study's insights offer valuable guidance for policymakers in designing social welfare programs and economic policies that consider income levels and their potential impact on consumer behaviour.

5. Conclusion

The research findings provide crucial insights into the dynamic relationship between income changes and consumer demand. Understanding how consumer behaviour is influenced by income fluctuations is essential for businesses, policymakers, and researchers alike. By acknowledging the importance of income in shaping consumer preferences, we can foster more inclusive and sustainable economic development. The implications of this study will contribute to the body of knowledge on consumer behaviour and income changes, benefiting businesses, policymakers, and consumers in making informed decisions.

References

- [1] Agarwal L, Souleles NS.2007. The Reaction of Consumer Spending and Debt to Tax Rebates Evidence from Consumer Credit Data. J. Polit. Econ.115: 986 1019
- [2] Aguiar M, Hurst E.2005. Consumption versus Expenditures. J. Polit. Econ.113: 919 48 Aguiar M, Hurst E.2007. Life Cycle Prices and Production. Am. Econ. Rev.97: 1533 59 Altonji JG, Siow A.1987. Testing the Response of Consumption to Income with (Noisy) Panel Data. Q. J. Econ.102: 293 328
- [3] Angeletos GM, Laibson D, Repetto A, Tobacman J, Weinberg S.2001. The Hyperbolic Consumption Model: Calibration, Simulation, and Empirical Evaluation. J. Ec. Persp.15 (3): 47 - 68
- [4] Attanasio OP.2000. Consumption. In Handbook of Macroeconomics. Vol.1B, ed. JB. Taylor, M Woodford, 11: 741 - 812. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science
- [5] Attanasio OP, Goldberg PK, Kyriazidou E.2008. Credit Constraints in the Market for Consumer Durables: Evidence from Micro Data on Car Loans. Int. Econ. Rev.49 (2): 401 - 436 Attanasio OP, Meghir C, Aguila E.2008. Changes in Consumption at Retirement. RAND Working Paper WR - 621
- [6] Attanasio OP, Pavoni N.2007. Risk Sharing in Private Information Models with Asset Accumulation: Explaining the Excess Smoothness of Consumption. NBER Working Papers 12994.
- [7] Attanasio OP, Weber G.1993. Consumption Growth, the Interest Rate, and Aggregation. Rev Econ. Stud.60: 631 - 49
- [8] Attanasio OP, Weber G.1995. Is Consumption Growth Consistent with Intertemporal Optimization? Evidence from the Consumer Expenditure Survey. J. Polit. Econ.103: 1121 57.
- [9] Attanasio OP, Weber G.2009. Consumption and Saving: Models of Intertemporal Allocation and Their Implications for Public Policy. Mimeo. University College London
- [10] Banks J, Blundell R, Tanner S.1998. Is There a Retirement Savings - Puzzle?. Am. Econ. Rev.88: 769
- [11] Bernheim BD, Skinner J, Weinberg S.2001. What Accounts for the Variation in Retirement Wealth among US Households?. Am. Econ. Rev. 91: 832 57
- [12] Blundell R, Low H, Preston I.2008. Decomposing Changes in Income Risk Using Consumption Data. IFS Working Papers W08/13. London: Institute for Fiscal Studies
- [13] Blundell R, Pistaferri L, Preston I.2008. Consumption inequality and partial insurance. Am. Econ.
- [14] Rev.98: 1887 1921
- [15] Attanasio OP, Weber G.1995. Is Consumption Growth Consistent with Intertemporal Optimization? Evidence from the Consumer Expenditure Survey. J. Polit. Econ.103: 1121 57.
- [16] Attanasio OP, Weber G.2009. Consumption and Saving: Models of Intertemporal Allocation and Their Implications for Public Policy. Mimeo. University College London

Volume 11 Issue 11, November 2023 www.ijser.in

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Research (IJSER) ISSN (Online): 2347-3878

Impact Factor (2020): 6.733

- [17] Banks J, Blundell R, Tanner S.1998. Is There a Retirement Savings - Puzzle?. Am. Econ. Rev.88: 769 - 88
- [18] Bernheim BD, Skinner J, Weinberg S.2001. What Accounts for the Variation in Retirement Wealth among US Households?. Am. Econ. Rev. 91: 832 57
- [19] Blundell R, Low H, Preston I.2008. Decomposing Changes in Income Risk Using Consumption Data. IFS Working Papers W08/13. London: Institute for Fiscal Studies
- [20] Blundell R, Pistaferri L, Preston I.2008. Consumption inequality and partial insurance. Am. Econ. Rev.98: 1887 - 1921
- [21] Bodkin R.1959. Windfall Income and Consumption. Am. Econ. Rev.49: 602 - 14
- [22] Browning M, Collado MF.2001. The Response of Expenditures to Anticipated Income Changes:
- [23] Panel Data Estimates. Am. Econ. Rev. 91: 681 692
- [24] Browning M, Crossley TF.2001. The Life Cycle Model of Consumption and Savings. J. Econ. Persp.15 (3): 3 - 22.
- [25] Browning M, Crossley TF.2001. Unemployment insurance benefit levels and consumption changes. J. Public Econ.80 (1): 1 23
- [26] Camerer C, Loewenstein G, Prelec D.2005. Neuroeconomics: How Neuroscience Can Inform Economics. J. Ec. Lit.43 (1): 9 - 64
- [27] Maki DM, Palumbo MG.2001. Disentangling the Wealth Effect: A Cohort Analysis of Household Saving in the 1990s. Finance and Economics Discussion Series 2001 - 21. Washington: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
- [28] Manski CF.2004. Measuring Expectations. Econometrica 72: 1329 76
- [29] Meghir C, Pistaferri L.2004. Income Variance Dynamics and Heterogeneity. Econometrica 72: 1 - 32
- [30] Modigliani F, Brumberg R.1954. Utility Analysis and the Consumption Function: An Interpretation of Cross
 Section Data. In Post - Keynesians Economics, ed. K. Kurihara. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press
- [31] Parker JA.1999. The Reaction of Household Consumption to Predictable Changes in Social Security Taxes. Am. Econ. Rev.89: 959 - 7
- [32] Paxson CH.1993. Consumption and Income Seasonality in Thailand. J. Polit. Econ.101: 39 - 72 Pistaferri L.2001. Superior Information, Income Shocks and the Permanent Income Hypothesis. Rev. Econ. Stat.83: 465 - 76
- [33] Primiceri GE, van Rens T.2009. Heterogeneous life cycle profiles, income risk and consumption inequality. J. of Monetary Econ.56 (1): 20 39
- [34] Scholnick B, Massoud N, Saunders A, Carbo Valverde S, Rodríguez Fernández F.2008. The Economics of Credit Cards, Debit Cards and ATMs: A Survey and Some New Evidence. J. Bank. Financ.32 (8): 1468 1483
- [35] Shapiro MD, Slemrod J.1995. Consumer Response to the Timing of Income: Evidence from a Change in Tax Withholding. Am. Econ. Rev.85: 274 283
- [36] Shapiro MD, Slemrod J.2003. Did the 2001 Tax Rebate Stimulate Spending? Evidence from Taxpayer Surveys. In Tax Policy and the Economy. Vol.17, ed. JM Poterba, pp.83 - 109. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press

- [37] Shapiro MD, Slemrod J.2009. Did the 2008 Tax Rebates Stimulate Spending?. Am. Econ. Rev. Papers and Proceedings 99: 374 - 79
- [38] Shea J.1995. Union Contracts and the Life Cycle Permanent Income Hypothesis. Am. Econ. Rev.85: 186 - 200

Volume 11 Issue 11, November 2023 www.ijser.in