<u>www.ijser.in</u>

ISSN (Online): 2347-3878 Volume 3 Issue 1, January 2015

Derivatives of Divided Differences

S. Ray

Department of Mathematics, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, West Bengal, India

Abstract: Let V be a finite set containing k points. f(V) is divided difference of f at V. The m-th order Peano derivative of $f(\{x\} \cup V)$ is defined and is denoted by $f_m(x;V)$. It is same as generalised divided difference which is defined in [1]. We have proved a decomposition theorem and a mean value theorem for this generalised divided difference. Also many of the properties are studied.

1. Introduction

Suppose V be a finite set, $x \notin V$ and $f(V \cup \{x\})$ is divided difference of f. Let $f_m(x,V)$ is m-th order Peano derivative of $f(V \cup \{x\})$ regarded as a function of x.In [1] Fejzic, Svetic and Weil have termed the iterated limit of divided difference by generalized divided difference and use it to study the properties of n-convex functions. In this article we have studied the properties of that generalized divided difference, which is equivalent to $f_m(x,V)$. It is shown that $f_m(x;V)$ can be written as a sum of an n-th order Peano derivative of a function and n-th order divided difference of another function. For an n-convex function the properties of repeated limits of $f_m(x;V)$ are studied.

In [2] Mukhopadhayay and Ray, a mean value theorem for divided difference is proved. Here we have presented a mean value theorem for this generalized divided difference.

2. Definition and Notation

Let $f: E \to \Re$, $V = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \subset E$, then the divided difference of f at V is defined by

$$f(V) = f(x_0, ..., x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{f(x_i)}{\omega'(x_i)}$$

where

 $\omega(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n} (x - x_i)$

We write f(x;V) instead of $f(V \cup \{x\})$.

Let $f: E \to \Re$, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then f is n-convex in E if for each subset V of E containing n+1 points , $f(V) \ge 0$.

Let $x \in E - V$ be right hand limit point of E, then right Peano derivative of divided differences with respect to the set E is defined inductively as

$$f_1^+(x;V) = \lim_{y \to x^+} \frac{f(y;V) - f(x;V)}{(y-x)}$$

and if $f_r^+(x;V)$ exist for $1 \le r < m$ then m-th order derivative

$$f_m^+(x;V) = \lim_{\substack{y \to x^+ \\ y \in E}} \gamma_m(f, x, y, V)$$

where

International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Research (IJSER)

www.ijser.in ISSN (Online): 2347-3878 Volume 3 Issue 1, January 2015

$$\gamma_m(f, x, y, V) = \frac{m!}{(y-x)^m} \left\{ f(y; V) - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{(y-x)^i}{i!} f_i^+(x; V) \right\}.$$

Here we assume $f_0^+(x;V) = f(x;V)$.

If $V = \phi$, then we write $\gamma_m(f, x, y)$ instead of $\gamma_m(f, x, y, \phi)$. In this case $f_m^+(x; V)$ is the usual right hand Peano derivative of f at x of order m and is denoted by $f_m^+(x)$. If $x \in E - V$ be a left hand limit point. We define left hand Peano derivative of divided difference $f_m^-(x; V)$ in similar way. If x is both sided limit point and $f_m^+(x; V)$ and $f_m^-(x; V)$ both exist and $f_i^+(x; V) = f_i^-(x; V)$ for i = 1, 2, ..., m, then f is said to have the m-th order Peano derivative of divided difference $f_m(x; V)$. Clearly for a fixed $V \subset E$, $f_m(x; V)$ is the m-th order Peano derivative of the function f(x; V), regarded as a function of x. Hence for $x \notin V$, $f_m(x; V)$ exists if and only if $f_m(x)$ exists.

Let $f: E \to \Re$ and $V \subset E$ be finite and $x \in E - V$ be a limit point of E. Let $\{x_1, ..., x_k\}$ be k distinct points in E - V. Then we define

$$[f, x, V]^{k} = \lim_{x_{k} \to x} \dots \lim_{x_{k} \in E} \dots \lim_{x_{1} \to x} f(x, x_{1}, \dots, x_{k}; V)$$

In [1], $[f, x, V]^k$ is termed as generalized divided difference of order k. Clearly it is same as $f_k(x; V)$. In what follows from now we shall drop $y \in E$ under the limit notation.

3. Properties of $f_n(x;V)$

Theorem 3.1 Let $f: E \to \Re$ and $V = \{x_0, x_1, ..., x_n\} \subset E$. Let f_m exists on E and $u \in E - V$ be both sided limit point of E, then

$$f_m(u;V) = \phi_m(u) + m!\psi(V)$$

where $\phi(t) = \frac{f(t)}{\omega(t)}$ and $\psi(t) = \frac{f(t)}{(t-u)^{(m+1)}}$

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on $\gamma_m(f, u, y, V)$. We prove

(1)
$$\gamma_m(f, u, y, V) = \gamma_m(\phi, u, y) + m! \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{f(x_i)}{\omega'(x_i)(x_i - u)^m(x_i - y)}$$

Clearly

$$\begin{split} \gamma_1(f, u, y, V) &= \frac{f(y; V) - f(u; V)}{y - u} \\ &= f(u, y; V) \\ &= \frac{f(u)}{(u - y)\omega(u)} + \frac{f(y)}{(y - u)\omega(y)} + \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{f(x_i)}{\omega'(x_i)(x_i - u)(x_i - y)} \\ &= \frac{\phi(y) - \phi(u)}{y - u} + \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{f(x_i)}{\omega'(x_i)(x_i - u)(x_i - y)} \\ &= \gamma_1(\phi, u, y) + \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{f(x_i)}{\omega'(x_i)(x_i - u)(x_i - y)} \end{split}$$

So (1) is true for m=1. Let it is true for $m = r \ge 1$. So putting m=r in (1) and letting $y \rightarrow u, y \in E$, since f_r exists we get

www.ijser.in ISSN (Online): 2347-3878 Volume 3 Issue 1, January 2015

$$\begin{split} f_{r}(u;V) &= \phi_{r}(u) + r! \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{f(x_{i})}{\omega'(x_{i})(x_{i}-u)^{r+1}} \\ \text{Now, } \gamma_{r+1}(f,u,y,V) &= \frac{(r+1)!}{(y-u)^{r+1}} \left\{ f(y;V) - \sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{(y-u)^{i}}{i!} f_{i}(u;V) \right\} \\ &= \frac{(r+1)}{(y-u)} \left[\frac{r!}{(y-u)^{r}} \left\{ f(y;V) - \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{(y-u)^{i}}{i!} f_{i}(u;V) \right\} - f_{r}(u;V) \right] \\ &= \frac{(r+1)}{(y-u)} \left[\gamma_{r}(f,u,y,V) - f_{r}(u;V) \right] \\ &= \frac{(r+1)}{(y-u)} \left[\left\{ \gamma_{r}(\phi,u,y) + r! \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{f(x_{i})}{\omega'(x_{i})(x_{i}-u)^{r}(x_{i}-y)} \right\} - \left\{ \phi_{r}(u) + r! \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{f(x_{i})}{\omega'(x_{i})(x_{i}-u)^{r+1}} \right\} \right] \\ &= \frac{(r+1)}{(y-u)} \left[\left\{ \gamma_{r}(\phi,u,y) - \phi_{r}(u) \right\} + r! \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{f(x_{i})}{\omega'(x_{i})(x_{i}-u)^{r}} \left\{ \frac{1}{x_{i}-y} - \frac{1}{x_{i}-u} \right\} \right] \\ &= \gamma_{r+1}(\phi,u,y) + (r+1)! \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{f(x_{i})}{\omega'(x_{i})(x_{i}-u)^{r+1}(x_{i}-y)} \end{split}$$

Hence (1) is true for m=r+1. So by induction (1) is true, now letting $y \rightarrow u$ we get

$$f_m(u;V) = \phi_m(u) + m! \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{f(x_i)}{\omega'(x_i)(x_i - u)^{m+1}}$$

= $\phi_m(u) + m! \psi(V)$

Theorem 3.2 Suppose $f: E \to \Re$ and $V \subset E$ be finite set and $x \in E - V$, Then $f_k(x;V)$ is divided difference of $f_k(x;\{t\})$ as a function of t.

Proof. Let $V = \{x_0, x_1, ..., x_n\}$, from Theorem 3.1 we get

$$f_k(x; V - \{x_0\}) = \left\lfloor \frac{f(x)(x - x_0)}{w(x)} \right\rfloor_k + k! \psi(V - \{x_0\})$$

and

$$f_k(x; V - \{x_1\}) = \left[\frac{f(x)(x - x_1)}{w(x)}\right]_k + k!\psi(V - \{x_1\})$$

The suffix k denotes the k-th order Peano derivative of the expression in bracket. Hence

$$\frac{f_k(x;V-\{x_0\}) - f_k(x;V-\{x_1\})}{x_1 - x_0} =$$

$$\frac{1}{x_1 - x_0} \left[\frac{f(x)(x - x_0)}{w(x)} - \frac{f(x)(x - x_1)}{w(x)} \right]_k + k! \frac{\psi(V - \{x_0\}) - \psi(V - \{x_1\})}{x_1 - x_0}$$

$$= \left[\frac{f(x)}{w(x)} \right]_k + k! \psi(V)$$

Paper ID: J2013448

<u>www.ijser.in</u>

ISSN (Online): 2347-3878 Volume 3 Issue 1, January 2015

 $= f_k(x;V)$

Theorem 3.3 Suppose $f: E \to \Re$ be continuous and $V \subset E$ be a finite set and $x \in E - V$ be right hand limit point of *E*. Now if $f_{k+1}^+(x;V)$ exists then

 $(r+1)\lim_{u\to x^+} f_r^+(x;V\cup\{u\}) = f_{r+1}^+(x;V)$

Proof. As in Newton divided difference interpolation formula we can write

$$f(u;V) = f(x_0;V) + (u - x_0)f(x_0, x_1;V) + \dots + (u - x_0)\dots(u - x_{r-1})f(x_0, \dots, x_r;V) + \dots$$

 $(u-x_0)...(u-x_r)f(u,x_0,...,x_r;V)$

Now performing the limit $\lim_{x_r \to x^+} \dots \lim_{x_0 \to x^+}$ on both sides we get

$$f(u;V) = f(x;V) + (u-x)f_1^+(x;V) + \dots + \frac{(u-x)^r}{r!}f_r^+(x;V) + \frac{(u-x)^{r+1}}{r!}f_r^+(x;V \cup \{u\})$$

So

$$(r+1)f_r^+(x;V\cup\{u\}) = \frac{f(u;V) - f(x;V) - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{(u-x)^i}{i!} f_i^+(x;V)}{\frac{(u-x)^{r+1}}{(r+1)!}}$$

Now $u \rightarrow x^+$, we get the following result.

Remark 3.4 Theorem 3.4 holds for left derivative also.

Theorem 3.5 Let $r, m, n, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and f is n-convex on E, r+m+s < n then $\lim_{y_m \to x} \dots \lim_{y_1 \to x} \lim_{x_s \to x} \dots \lim_{x_1 \to x} m! (s-1)! f_r(x_1, x_2, ..., x_s; \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_m\}) = f_{r+(s-1)+m}(x)$

If r+m+s=n, then at the points $x \in E$ where f_{n-1} exists (by Corollary 6.7 in [1] it is except a countable set) $\lim_{y_m \to x} \dots \lim_{y_1 \to x} \lim_{x_s \to x} \dots \lim_{x_1 \to x} m! (s-1)! f_{n-(s+m)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s; \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}) = f_{n-1}(x)$

Proof. Suppose $V = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_m\}$. Since f is n-convex, the divided difference $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n-m}, y_1, y_2, ..., y_m)$ is nondecreasing function of each x_i . so f(x;V) is (n-m) convex. Suppose $\phi(x) = f(x;V)$. So ϕ is (n-m) convex. By Corollary 6.7 in [1], $[\phi_r(x)]_{s-1} = \phi_{s+r-1}(x)$ for r+s < n-m. So $[f_r(x;V)]_{s-1} = f_{r+s-1}(x;V)$.

 $\lim_{x_s \to x} \dots \lim_{x_1 \to x} (s-1)! f_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s; V) = [f_r(x; V)]_{s-1}$

Also from Theorem and Remark, $\lim_{y_m \to x} \dots \lim_{y_1 \to x} m! f_{r+s-1}(x;V) = f_{r+s+m-1}(x)$

This completes the proof for first part

If r+s+m=n, then also except some countable points x, $[\phi_r(x)]_{s-1} = \phi_{s+r-1}(x)$ for r+s=n-m. So as in first part we get the result replacing r by n-(m+s).

Theorem 3.6 Suppose V and W are finite subsets of [a,b] with $\mathsf{P}V\mathsf{P} = \mathsf{P}W\mathsf{P} = n$ and f_k exists on [a,b]. Let $f_k(x;V) \le 0 \le f_k(x;W)$ for some $x \in [a,b]$. Then there is $A \subset [a,b] - \{x\}, \mathsf{P}A\mathsf{P} = n$, such that $\inf[V \cup W] \le y \le \sup[V \cup W], \forall y \in A$, and $f_k(x;A) = 0$.

www.ijser.in ISSN (Online): 2347-3878 Volume 3 Issue 1, January 2015

Proof. From Theorem 3.1 we have $f_k(x; \{t\}) = \left[\frac{f(x)}{x-t}\right]_k + k! \frac{f(t)}{(x-t)^2}$. So if $g(t) = f_k(x; \{t\})$, then g has Darboux

property in $[a,b]-\{x\}$. Now by Theorem 3.3 , $f_k(x;V)$ is divided difference of g at the points of V. Hence by Theorem 3.1 of [2] the result follows.

References

- [1] Fejzic H, Svetic R E and Weil C E (2010) : Differentiation of n-convex functions . Fund. Math. 209 ,No.1 9-25 Zbl 1202.26008
- [2] Mukhopadhayay S N, Ray S (2009) : Mean value Theorems for divided differences and approximate Peano derivatives. *Mathematica Bohemica* 134 No. 2, 165-171. Zbl 1212.26075