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Abstract: The large size and the dynamic nature of the Web make it necessary to continually maintain Web based information retrieval systems. Crawlers facilitate this process by following hyperlinks in Web pages to automatically download new and updated Web pages. The expansion of the World Wide Web has led to a chaotic state where the users of the internet have to face and overcome the major problem of discovering information. For the solution to this problem, many mechanisms were created based on crawlers who are browsing the www and downloading pages. In this paper we will describe a crawling mechanism which is created in order to support data mining and processing systems and to obtain a history of the web’s content.
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1. Introduction

The Internet is a global system of interconnected computer networks. The searching and indexing tasks for the web are currently handled from specialized web applications called search engines. The modern search engines are divided into three parts they are the publically available search engine, the data-base and the web crawling system. A web crawler is an automated program that browses the Web in a methodological manner. The process of traversing the web is called Web crawling. Web crawler starts with a queue of known URLs to visit.” In this paper, we describe a different crawler that executes a very simple process as it only focuses on the content collection of web pages, ignoring completely images, stylesheet, javascript and document files. The information the crawler collects will be used by mechanisms that wish to implement data mining and they only need the content of web pages in text form. It can also be used by mechanisms that are interested in the history of a page as our crawler maintains the content of pages that have been crawled in the past. The fact that the crawler produces very simple and useful data in combination with its characteristics - it is polite, adaptive and selective – make him very powerful and efficient.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents the architecture of the crawler. Section 3 describes design issues and in particular how the crawler succeeds to be polite, adaptive and selective. Section 4 reports traps and how the crawler manages to bypass them, section 5 the conclusions.

2. Architecture

Web crawler (also known as Spiders or robots) is software that can start with a Uniform Resource Locator (known as seed URL), downloads the pages with associated links and looks for the updates and stores them for later use. This process is generally done iteratively. The general architecture of a crawler is portrayed in Fig. 1.

As a user enters a search engine page (Input or Seed URL) and places a keyword, the crawler visit the links and request a file called “robots.txt” (a file which defines the limitations – what it can let it to see, how many times it can allows visits, etc )we get the copies and it will be consulted with the indexer, and the relevant pages are given out. The results are ranked and best relevant results leads the priority in the display. The methods of ranking and the order of display vary from one search engine to the other. The crawler is smart enough to check out the pages after some time, when the site is down temporarily, but if it finds the site down for continuous period or too slow to respond it may not prefer to visit again.

The basic algorithm, executed by the web crawler, reads URLs from the Data Base as its input and executes the following loop. It reads a URL from the URL table
downloads the corresponding document and extracts any links contained in it. For each of the extracted links, it ensures that it is an absolute URL and adds it to the table of URLs to download, provided it has not been encountered before.

A more detailed description of the architecture of our distributed crawler follows. We partition the crawling system into four major components. First, a number of crawlers download the URLs from the Web. Second a general manager is in charge of storing the information the crawlers collect from the URLs both in a Database and on the local disk, in a data structure of directories and subdirectories. As a result, our crawling system also consists of a Directory based system manager and a Database manager. Moreover, a Link Extractor Manager is responsible for the extraction of external links, their filtering and their addition to the main table with the URLs to be crawled. Finally, a Frequency Manager is charged with managing the visiting frequency web pages.

Each one of the following systems, the downloading system, the system that manages external links and the system that is responsible of the visiting frequency, can execute their process in parallel. This is achieved by using either multiple terminals or threads. If terminals are used, each system should constantly be informed. When a terminal produces a result, it should immediately notify the manager of it and then, the manager is responsible for making known the new information to the rest of the terminals. On the contrary, threads’ usage is preferable to different terminals because the system is always informed of its current condition and there is no need of informing either the manager or the rest of the terminals.

In both cases there is a restriction in parallelism when the systems communicate with the Database and the Filesystem. If more than one thread or terminal accesses simultaneously the Filesystem or the Database in order to write or read data, then there will definitely arise a problem. Thus, writing and reading procedures should be done sequentially and when one thread accesses the repository, no other can access it at the same time.

As mentioned above, our crawler uses a Database save system and a Directory save system in order to maintain and easily explore the information acquired from crawling. The Database save system provides for a fast lookup. For example, to check whether a page has been crawled or not, how often a page changes or when was the last time a page was crawled. Information about the size and the type of a page is also stored in the database. On the other hand, the Directory save system enables maintenance of the crawl history and further processing of the information retrieved. With every crawl, a directory is created on the local disk named by the date and time of creation. In this directory, the system creates other directories that take their names by the domains of the crawled URLs. The HTML code of a page is stored in the corresponding directory where there are also created more directories for the internal links of this page. For example, if the crawler should crawl the URL http://www.myfirstsite.com/d1/d2, then a directory named www.myfirstsite.com is constructed and another two subdirectories named d1 and d2 are created inside the directories www.myfirstsite.com and d1 respectively.

The Link Extractor Manager is responsible for the links of web pages. During the parsing of a page, its links are extracted and they are added temporarily to a vector. Then they are compared to URLs that they have already been crawled and to URLs that are disallowed according to the Robot Exclusion Protocol.

One of the important characteristics of this crawler is that it is stand-alone. The four systems of the crawler are separate but not completely independent. The operation of one system depends on the results that are produced by the operation of another. They should be executed sequentially and parallelism can be achieved only to some extent. The program that crawls pages should be executed first and then the processes that manage visiting frequency and external links can be executed in parallel. In the following figure, number one represents crawling process, number two represents the procedure of recalculating visiting frequency and number three represents the process that manages external links.

![Figure 1: The architecture of our crawling system](image)

In the figure above, the crawling system is divided into four major components: the downloading system, the external links manager, the frequency manager, and the general manager. Each component communicates with the database and the filesystem to manage the visiting frequency and external links. The downloading system downloads the URLs from the web and stores them in the database. The external links manager extracts and filters the links from the downloaded pages and stores them in the database. The frequency manager manages the visiting frequency and external links. The general manager coordinates the activities of the other components.

3. Design Issues

This section describes design issues and in particular three essential characteristics of our crawling system that make it efficient and different from other systems.

3.1 A polite crawler – Crawling Policies

The crawler that we describe is friendly to the pages it visits. Firstly, it implements the Robots Exclusion Protocol, which allows web masters to declare parts of their sites off limits to crawlers. The Robots Exclusion Protocol requires a web crawler to fetch a special document containing these
declarations from a web site before downloading any real content from it. Our crawling system before adding a new URL to the table with the URLs to be crawled, it examines whether this URL is excluded by the robot protocol or not. If a URL is excluded, it will not be added to the table and thus will not be crawled.

Secondly this crawler pays attention to its visits between pages of the same domain. It starts visiting all the URLs of the table whose level is not zero and extracts their URLs. And it repeats this procedure for all internal URLs it finds. In other words, it does not take the first URL of the table, finds its URLs and then visits these URLs to extract their internal URLs. The crawler visits the pages of the same domain with such a frequency that does not overload the pages and this is very important especially for the portals that are visited million times every day. Anecdotal evidence from access logs shows that access intervals from known crawlers vary between 20 seconds and 3-4 minutes. The lower bound of access intervals of the crawler that we describe is 10 seconds. Another crucial point of our crawling system is that the time it visits the same pages changes daily. Therefore the pages are not overloaded the same time every day and they are able to meet efficiently requests of users during the day.

3.2 Content Based Adaptation of the Crawler

The second important characteristic of our crawling system is adaptation. This crawler is capable of adapting its visiting frequency to the rate of change of the page content. This is implemented by the following procedure. After a page has been crawled several times, the system examines the rate of change of its content and proportionally increases or decreases the frequency it visits this page. In more detail, the table of the Database, where the URLs that will be crawled are stored, has among others, the following fields: size, date, fr (frequency) and fr_max (maximum frequency). Size represents the size of the page, date represents the last date the page was crawled, fr_max represents the crawler’s visiting frequency to the page and fr represents the number of crawlings that should be done before the page can be recrawled again. In each crawl, fr is decreased until it becomes zero. Then, the page should be crawled and after the crawling procedure, fr takes the value of fr_max. We present a flow diagram below that explains better this part of our crawling system.

![Flow diagram that shows how the system manipulates visiting frequency](image)

**Figure 3:** Flow diagram that shows how the system manipulates visiting frequency

The algorithm that calculates new max_fr, based on the rate of change of a page is the following:

```python
variable x takes the minimum value between records and limit
if counter is equal to zero
then variable b takes the value of x
and new_maxfr becomes the lower bound of (maxfr + b) / 2
else if counter is not equal to zero
then variable b takes the value of (x / counter)
and new_maxfr becomes the lower bound of (maxfr + b) / 2
```

For example, we assume that at the table, there are 45 records of a page that its max_fr is 3 and we would like to examine the last 20 records for changes on its content. If it has changed 10 times during the period of the last 20 crawlings, then according to the above algorithm, we have:

```plaintext
x = 20;
b = 20/10 = 2;
new_maxfr = (3+2)/2 = 5/2 = 2.5 \rightarrow 2.
```

That means that the crawler should crawl this page more often and in particular every two days and not three. Finally, we should mention that an efficient crawler is only interested in collecting the differences in the content of a certain page. Visiting frequently a page whose content does not change, provides no information to the system and burdens both the system and the page.

3.3 To Describe a Selective Incremental Algorithm

Crawlers whose procedure is quite simple, crawl all pages without carrying out any inspection to find out whether the pages have changed or not. Thus they are crawling pages whose content is exactly the same to that of the last crawling, extracting no information and managing only to overload both pages and the system. However, there are also crawlers that choose not to crawl a page that has not changed recently. This is clever enough but there is a drawback as the crawling system may not be informed of the changes of the pages the internal URLs point at. For instance, the following figure represents a page and its internal links. If page A will not be crawled by the system because it has not changed recently, then none of the pages B, C, D, E, F and G will be crawled. Nevertheless, their content may have changed but the system will not be able to be informed of it.

![A page and its internal links](image)

**Figure 4:** A page and its internal links

A selective incremental crawler is capable to resolve the situation when a page has not changed but the pages its internal URLs point at have changed. For example, if page A has not changed, the crawler examines whether pages B, C, D and E have changed or not. If a high percentage of them have changed, page A will be crawled, otherwise it will not and the...
crawler will take the next URL from the table with the URLs to be crawled. From experiments that we made, we came to the conclusion that we crawl page A when 40% of its pages have changed. This percentage is not constant but we recalculate it every time is the turn of page A to be crawled. In proportion to the number of pages that have changed or not, we increase or decrease the percentage respectively.

Another way of recrawling pages is by checking every page separately whether it has changed or not. For instance, the crawler will first examine whether page A has changed and if it has, it is crawled and then the next URL of the list is taken to be examined. Otherwise, if page A has not changed, the crawler examines its internal pages for changes. Thus, it checks page B. If its content differs from the one that was crawled the last time, it is crawled and afterwards page C is examined. If page B is the same, pages F and G are then checked. This way of recrawling may be the simplest, but it is a very time-consuming procedure and it presupposes that each page is not unknown to the system. The crawling system should know the tree diagram of each page, in other words its internal links.

On the contrary, the recrawling policy that is followed by the crawler that we describe, seems to be more clever than the one that we just reported. It is quicker, flexible and efficient but the basic and most important difference from the above policy is that each page is considered as a black box, in other words as something unknown that the system should approach and analyze. If the examination of the content of a page shows that the page has changed then it is still treated as something unknown to the system. The page ceases to seem unfamiliar to the system only when it is found that its content has not changed. In this case, the system knows the internal URLs of the page which examines to find out how many of them have changed and decide whether to crawl the page or not.

Our crawler is quite friendly to the pages it visits as it crawls them only when they have changed. Moreover, it is very efficient because it is interested in crawling information that is fresh, ignoring data that are not up-to-date. We should also remark on the cleverness of the crawler we describe. With just a look at the database, it avoids visiting pages whose content is stable and their crawling would put additional load both on the crawling system and on the pages. Finally the crawler learns more about the pages as it runs and its low speed which is a consequence of the fact that it is a selective incremental crawler, is not a disadvantage of the system but it makes it seem even more friendly to the pages it visits.

4. Enhancing Security and to Avoid Traps

A crawler trap is a URL or set of URLs that cause a crawler to crawl indefinitely. Some crawler traps are unintentional. For example, a symbolic link within a file system can create a cycle. Other crawler traps are introduced intentionally. For example, people have written traps using CGI programs that dynamically generate an infinite web of documents. The crawler we describe does not read CGI programs and thus it is not threatened.

There is no automatic technique for avoiding crawler traps. However, sites containing crawler traps are easily noticed due to the large number of documents discovered there. A human operator can verify the existence of a trap and manually exclude the site from the crawler’s purview using the customizable URL filter.

The URL filtering mechanism provides a customizable way to control the set of URLs that are downloaded. Before adding a URL to the table with the URLs to be crawled, the crawling system consults the user-supplied URL filter. The URL filter class has a single crawl method that takes a URL and returns a boolean value indicating whether or not to crawl that URL.

Nevertheless, it is possible a crawler to include a collection of different URL filter subclasses that provide facilities for restricting URLs by domain, prefix or protocol type and for computing conjunction, disjunction or negation of other filters. Users may also supply their own custom URL filters, which are dynamically loaded at start-up.

The crawler, we describe in this paper also uses a time limit in order to avoid traps. As we mentioned above, obvious traps are gigabyte-sized or even infinite web documents. Similarly, a web site may have an infinite series of links (e.g. “domain.com/home?time=101” could have a self-link to “domain.com/home?time=102” which contains link to “…103”, etc…). Deciding to ignore dynamic pages results in a lot of skipped pages and therefore the problem is not completely fixed. Our crawler uses a time limit which refers to the period a page is being crawled. If a page while crawling passes this limit, it stops being crawled and the next page is fetched to be crawled, encountering efficiently this kind of traps.

5. Future Work – Conclusion

Because of the dynamism of the Web, crawling forms the back-bone of applications that facilitate Web information retrieval. In this paper, we describe the architecture and implementation details of our crawling system, also presented some preliminary experiments. We explained the importance of extracting only content from web pages and how this can be implemented by a mechanism content analysis, corresponding crawling policies and clever systems that extract content of high quality. These are obviously many improvements to the system that can be made. A major open issue for future work is a detailed study of how the system could become even more distributed, retaining though quality of the content of the crawled pages.

When a system is distributed, it is possible to use only one of its component or easily add a new one to it.
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