## Efficient Estimators of Population Variance Using Known Population Mode & Variance of Auxiliary Variable

Harinder Kaur<sup>1</sup>, M. K. Sharma<sup>2</sup>

Punjabi University, Patiala, Punjab, India

Abstract: In this paper, using known information of population mode  $(M_x)$  along with population variance  $(S_x^2)$  of the auxiliary variable x, we have proposed the ratio-type and product-type estimators of population variance  $(S_y^2)$  of the main variable y. Also we have proposed the class of estimators of  $S_y^2$  whose proposed estimators are the members. Up to terms of order  $n^{-1}$ , expressions for biases, MSEs and minimum MSEs are obtained and compared with each other. Theoretical results are supported by the empirical study by taking five populations from the literature.

**Keywords:** Correlation coefficient, Coefficient of kurtosis, Coefficient of skewness, Population variance, Population mode, Product-type estimator, Ratio-type estimator, Regularity conditions, Taylor series.

### 1. Introduction

It is well known that the use of the auxiliary information increases the efficiency of the estimator at the estimation stage, which is developed to estimate the population parameter of the study variable. Rather than the population mean, population variance is also one of that main parameter in which researchers have keen interest to estimate. In some areas such as laboratories and industries, producer wants to know the effect of variations on their products. In literature so many researchers Srivastava and Jhajj (1980), Isaki (1983), Upadhyaya and Singh (1999), Kadilar and Cingi (2006),Subramani and Kumarapandiyan (2012a.b. 2013,2015), have done work in this direction by using different information of auxiliary variable such  $C_{x}, \beta_{1x}, \beta_{2x}, S_{x}, \rho, M_{d}, Q_{1}, Q_{3}, Q_{r}, Q_{d}, Q_{a}$ as their combinations.

Recently Sharma et al. (2016a) suggested the new parametric relationship for population mode  $(M_y)$  as

$$M_y = \bar{Y} - k \frac{\mu_{30}}{S_y^2}$$

where k is unknown constant to be determined. They obtained the value of k by minimizing the MSE (up to terms of order  $n^{-1}$ ) of the conventional consistent estimators of  $M_y$  as

$$\widehat{M}_{o1} = \overline{y} - k_1 \frac{m_{30}}{s_y^2}.$$

In this study, we first propose ratio-type and product-type estimator for population variance  $S_y^2$  by using the known information of population mode along with population variance of the auxiliary variable. The expressions for their minimum mean squared error are derived up to the first order of approximation. Then we propose generalized class of estimator of  $S_y^2$  and obtained minimum mean squared error, up to the first order of approximation. Theoretically and numerically we show that proposed estimators are more efficient than the existing estimators.

### 2. Notations and Results

Let y be the variable of interest and x is the auxiliary variable. The observations on both the variables y and x are taken out from the sample of the size n, which has been drawn from the finite population of size N with the technique of SRSWOR. On the  $i^{th}$  unit,  $Y_i$  and  $X_i$  denote the values of the variables y and x respectively and corresponding small letters  $y_i$  and  $x_i$  denote the sample values.

Taking,  

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_i$$
,  $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$   
 $S_y^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$ ,  $S_x^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_i - \bar{X})^2$   
 $\mu_{rs} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^r (X_i - \bar{X})^s$ ,  $\lambda_{rs} = \frac{\mu_{rs}}{\mu_{20}^{r/2} \mu_{02}^{s/2}}$ 

Obviously

 $\begin{array}{l} \rho_{xy} = \lambda_{11} = \rho(\text{Correlation between } x \text{ and } y), \\ \beta_{1y} = \lambda_{30}(\text{Coefficient of skewness of } y), \\ \beta_{1x} = \lambda_{03}(\text{Coefficient of skewness of } x), \\ \beta_{2x} = \lambda_{04}(\text{Coefficient of kurtosis of } x). \\ \text{Defining,} \end{array}$ 

$$\delta = \frac{s_y^2}{S_y^2} - 1, \qquad \epsilon = \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{X}} - 1,$$
$$\eta = \frac{s_x^2}{S_x^2} - 1, \qquad \eta' = \frac{m_{03}}{\mu_{03}} - 1.$$

For the sake of simplicity, assume that N is large enough as compares to n so that finite population correction (fpc) terms are ignored throughout.

For the given SRSWOR, we have the following expectations,

#### Volume 4 Issue 10, October 2016

$$E(\delta) = E(\epsilon) = E(\eta) = E(\eta') = 0, \ E(\epsilon^2) = \frac{1}{n}C_x^2,$$
$$E(\epsilon\eta) = \frac{1}{n}\lambda_{03}C_x = \frac{1}{n}\beta_{1x}C_x, \qquad E(\epsilon\delta) = \frac{1}{n}\lambda_{21}C_x,$$

and up to terms of order  $n^{-1}$ 

$$\begin{split} E(\delta^2) &= \frac{1}{n} (\lambda_{40} - 1) = \frac{1}{n} (\beta_{2y} - 1), \\ E(\eta^2) &= \frac{1}{n} (\lambda_{04} - 1) = \frac{1}{n} (\beta_{2x} - 1), \\ E(\eta^2) &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{(\lambda_{06} - 6\lambda_{04} - \lambda_{03}^2 + 9)}{\lambda_{03}^2} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{(\lambda_{06} - 6\beta_{2x} - \beta_{1x}^2 + 9)}{\beta_{1x}^2} \\ E(\epsilon\eta^2) &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{(\lambda_{04} - 3)}{\lambda_{03}} C_x = \frac{1}{n} \frac{(\beta_{2x} - 3)}{\beta_{1x}} C_x, \\ E(\delta\eta) &= \frac{1}{n} (\lambda_{22} - 1), \\ E(\delta\eta^2) &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{(\lambda_{23} - 3\lambda_{21} - \lambda_{03})}{\lambda_{03}} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{(\lambda_{23} - 3\lambda_{21} - \beta_{1x})}{\beta_{1x}}, \\ E(\eta\eta^2) &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{(\lambda_{05} - 4\lambda_{03})}{\lambda_{03}} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{(\lambda_{05} - 4\beta_{1x})}{\beta_{1x}}. \end{split}$$

## 3. Proposed Estimators

If population mode  $M_x (= \bar{X} - K_x \frac{\mu_{0.3}}{s_x^2})$  of the auxiliary variable x is known then we here propose the ratio-type and product-type estimators of  $S_y^2$  as

$$\hat{S}_{yoR}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{S_{x}^{2} + M_{x}}{s_{x}^{2} + \hat{M}_{x}}$$

$$\hat{S}_{yoP}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{s_{x}^{2} + \hat{M}_{x}}{S_{x}^{2} + \hat{M}_{x}}$$
(3.1)
(3.2)

where the constants  $K_{xR}$  and  $K_{xP}$  involved in (3.1) & (3.2) are determined by minimizing the MSEs of the respective estimators.

Re-write the estimators in terms of  $\delta$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\eta'$  and expanding them up to second degree of approximation and taking the expectations as given in section second, upto terms of order  $n^{-1}$ , we get,

$$\begin{split} B(\hat{S}_{yoR}^2) &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{S_y^2}{S_x^2 + M_x} \left[ \delta_p \{ (\beta_{2x} - 1) S_x^2 + 2S_x \beta_{1x} - 2K_x \beta_x + 2K_x S_x (\lambda_{23} - 3\lambda_{21} - \beta_{1x} \lambda_{22}) - K_x S_x + 2K_x S_x (\lambda_{23} - 3\lambda_{21} - \beta_{1x} \lambda_{22}) - K_x S_x + B(\hat{S}_{yoP}^2) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{S_y^2}{S_x^2 + M_x} \left[ K_x S_x \{ \lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3) \} + (\lambda_{22}) - K_x S_x + M_x + \frac{1}{n} S_y^4 \left[ (\beta_{2y} - 1) + (\beta_{2x} - 1) \delta_p^2 - 2(\lambda_{22} - 1) + \frac{1}{(S_x^2 + M_x)} \left\{ \delta_p + 2\delta_p S_x \beta_{1x} - 2S_x \lambda_{21} - 2K_{xr} \delta_p S_x (\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3)) - 2K + \frac{1}{(S_x^2 + M_x)} \left\{ \delta_p + 2\delta_p S_x \beta_{1x} + 2S_x \lambda_{21} - 2K_{xr} \delta_p S_x (\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3)) - 2K + \frac{1}{(S_x^2 + M_x)} \left\{ \delta_p + 2\delta_p S_x \beta_{1x} + 2S_x \lambda_{21} - 2K_{xr} \delta_p S_x (\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3)) - 2K + \frac{1}{(S_x^2 + M_x)} \left\{ \delta_p + 2\delta_p S_x \beta_{1x} + 2S_x \lambda_{21} - 2K_{xr} \delta_p S_x (\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3)) - 2K + \frac{1}{(S_x^2 + M_x)} \left\{ \delta_p + 2\delta_p S_x \beta_{1x} + 2S_x \lambda_{21} - 2K_{xr} \delta_p S_x (\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3)) - 2K + \frac{1}{(S_x^2 + M_x)} \left\{ \delta_p + 2\delta_p S_x \beta_{1x} + 2S_x \lambda_{21} - 2K_{xr} \delta_p S_x (\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3)) - 2K + \frac{1}{(S_x^2 + M_x)} \left\{ \delta_p + 2\delta_p S_x \beta_{1x} + 2S_x \lambda_{21} - 2K_{xr} \delta_p S_x (\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3)) - 2K + \frac{1}{(S_x^2 + M_x)} \left\{ \delta_p + 2\delta_p S_x \beta_{1x} + 2S_x \lambda_{21} - 2K_{xr} \delta_p S_x (\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3)) - 2K + \frac{1}{(S_x^2 + M_x)} \left\{ \delta_p + 2\delta_p S_x \beta_{1x} + 2S_x \lambda_{21} - 2K_{xr} \delta_p S_x (\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3)) - 2K + \frac{1}{(S_x^2 + M_x)} \left\{ \delta_p + 2\delta_p S_x \beta_{1x} + 2S_x \lambda_{21} + 2K_x \delta_p S_x (\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3)) - 2K + \frac{1}{(S_x^2 + M_x)} \left\{ \delta_p + 2\delta_p S_x \beta_{1x} + 2S_x \lambda_{21} + 2K_x \delta_p S_x (\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3)) - 2K + \frac{1}{(S_x^2 + M_x)} \left\{ \delta_p + 2\delta_p S_x \beta_{1x} + 2S_x \lambda_{21} + 2K_x \delta_p S_x (\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3)) - 2K + \frac{1}{(S_x^2 + M_x)} \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

Note that  $B(\hat{S}_{y_{0R}}^{2}) \& B(\hat{S}_{y_{0P}}^{2})$  are of order  $n^{-1}$  and hence their contribution to the MSEs will be the order of  $n^{-2}$ .

Above 
$$MSE(S_{y_{0R}}^2)$$
 and  $MSE(S_{y_{0P}}^2)$  are respectively minimized for  

$$K_{xR} = \frac{\{R_{1s}S_x\beta_x + R_{1s}S_x^2(\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x}(\beta_{2x} + 3)) - S_y^2(\lambda_{22} - 3\lambda_{21} - \beta_{1x}\lambda_{22})\}}{\lambda_x}$$

$$K_{xR} = \frac{\{R_{1s}S_x\beta_x + R_{1s}S_x^2(\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x}(\beta_{2x} + 3)) + S_y^2(\lambda_{22} - 3\lambda_{21} - \beta_{1x}\lambda_{22})\}}{\lambda_x}$$

and up to terms of order 
$$n^{-1}$$
 their minimum MSEs are given by

$$MSE_{min}(\hat{S}_{yoR}^{2}) = \frac{1}{n} S_{y}^{4} \Big[ (\beta_{2y} - 1) + ((\beta_{2x} - 1)\delta_{p}^{2} - 2(\lambda_{22} - \frac{(\beta_{2x} - 1)\beta_{y}^{2} - 2(\lambda_{2x} - \frac{\beta_{2x} - 2(\lambda_{2x} -$$

$$MSE_{min}(\hat{S}_{yoP}^{2}) = \frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}\left[(\beta_{2y}-1) + ((\beta_{2x}-1)\delta_{p}^{2} + 2(\lambda_{22} - \frac{(S_{x}\beta_{x}/(S_{x}^{2}+M_{x}) + \delta_{p}(\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x}(\beta_{2x} + \lambda_{x}) + \delta_{p}(\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x}(\beta_{2x} + \lambda_{x}) + \lambda_{x})\right]$$

where

$$\begin{split} \delta_{p} &= \frac{S_{x}^{2}}{S_{x}^{2} + M_{x}} \\ \lambda_{x} &= \lambda_{06} - 6\beta_{x} + \beta_{ox} \\ \beta_{x} &= \beta_{2x} - \beta_{1x}^{2} - 3 \\ \beta_{0x} &= \beta_{1x}^{2}\beta_{2x} - 2\beta_{1x}\lambda_{05} - 9 \\ B_{x} &= \lambda_{13} - 3\rho - \beta_{1x}\lambda_{12} \end{split}$$

## 4. Proposed Class of Estimators

Whatever be the sample chosen, let (u, v) assume values in a bounded closed convex subset R of two-dimensional real space containing the point (1, 1), we here propose the class of estimators of population variance  $S_y^2$  by using the known information of population variance  $(S_x^2)$  and population mode  $(M_x)$  of auxiliary variable x as

$$S_{yg}^2 = s_y^2 t(u, v)$$
 (4.1)  
where  $t(u, v)$  be the function of  $u(=s_x^2/S_x^2)$  and  
 $v(=\tilde{M}_x/M_x)$  which is continuous and bounded in R.The  
first and second partial derivatives of  $t(u, v)$  exist and are  
continuous and bounded in R and such that  $t(1,1) = 1$ .  
Since there is finite number of samples, therefore MSE of  
the class of estimators exist.  
Expanding the function  $t(u, v)$  about the point (11) in

Expanding the function t(u, v) about the point (1,1) in second order Taylor's series, we obtain,

## Volume 4 Issue 10, October 2016

<u>www.ijser.in</u>

ISSN (Online): 2347-3878, Impact Factor (2015): 3.791

$$\begin{split} S_{yg}^2 &= s_y^2 \left[ t(1,1) + (u-1)t_1(1,1) + (v-1)t_2(1,1) \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \{ (u-1)^2 t_{11}(1,1) + 2(u-1)(v-1)t_1 \right] \end{split}$$

where  $t_1(1,1) = t_1(say) \& t_2(1,1) = t_2(say)$  denotes the first order partial derivatives and  $t_{11}(1,1), t_{12}(1,1) \& t_{22}(1,1)$  denotes the second order partial derivatives.

Now re-write this equation in  $\delta$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\eta'$  form and then taking expectations up to order  $n^{-1}$  we get,

$$MSE(S_{yg}^{2}) = \frac{1}{n} S_{y}^{4} \left[ (\beta_{2y} - 1) + t_{1}^{2} (\beta_{2x} - 1) + 2t_{1} (\lambda_{22} - 1) - 2t_{2} K_{xg} \frac{S_{x}}{M_{x}} (\lambda_{23} - 3\lambda_{21} - \beta_{1x} \lambda_{22}) + 2t_{1} t_{1} - 2t_{2}^{2} K_{xg} \frac{S_{x}^{2}}{M_{x}^{2}} \beta_{x} \right]$$

Where  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$  and  $K_{xg}$  are constant terms, whose values are obtained by minimizing the mean square error of  $\tilde{S}_{yg}^2$ . The  $MSE(\tilde{S}_{yg}^2)$  is minimized for

$$t_{1} = \frac{\{\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x}(\beta_{2x} - 1)\}C - (\lambda_{22} - 1)B - \beta_{1x}A}{(\beta_{2x} - 1)B}$$
$$t_{2} = \frac{M_{x}A}{S_{x}B}$$
$$K_{xg} = \frac{C}{A}$$

and  

$$MSE_{min}(\tilde{S}_{yg}^{2}) = \frac{1}{n} S_{y}^{4} \left[ (\beta_{2y} - 1) - \frac{\{\{\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x}(\beta_{2x} - 1)\}\}C}{(\beta_{2x} - 1)} - \frac{\{2(BC(\lambda_{23} - 3\lambda_{21} - \beta_{1x}\lambda_{22}) + AC\beta_{x} - 1)\}C}{R^{2}} \right]$$

where,

$$\begin{split} &a = \beta_x (\beta_{2x} - 1) - \beta_{1x} \{\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} - 1)\} \\ &b = (\beta_{2x} - 1) (\lambda_{23} - 3\lambda_{21} - \beta_{1x} \lambda_{22}) - (\lambda_{22} - 1) \{\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} - 1)\}^2 \\ &c = (\beta_{2x} - 1) \lambda_x - \{\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} - 1)\}^2 \\ &A = c \{(\beta_{2x} - 1)\lambda_{21} - \beta_{1x} (\lambda_{22} - 1)\} - ab \\ &B = a^2 + c (\beta_{1x}^2 - \beta_{2x} + 1) \\ &C = a \{(\beta_{2x} - 1)\lambda_{21} - \beta_{1x} (\lambda_{22} - 1)\} + b (\beta_{1x}^2 - \beta_{2x} + 1) \end{split}$$

### 5. Comparison

For comparison purpose, we consider the following existing modified ratio-type estimators of  $S_y^2$  from the literature (we exclude the estimators using known information of deciles and percentiles), which are listed and proposed by Subramani and kumarapandiyan (2015).

Estimator	Mean squared error (MSE)
$\hat{S}_{1}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{S_{x}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{1}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{1}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$
Isaki (1983)	
$\hat{S}_{2}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{S_{x}^{2} + C_{x}}{s_{x}^{2} + C_{x}}$ Kadilar & Cingi (2006)	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{2}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{2}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$
$S_{2}^{2} + \beta_{2}$	
$S_3^2 = s_y^2 \frac{x + r_{2x}}{s_x^2 + \beta_{2x}}$	$-S_{y}^{z}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{2}^{z}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{3}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$
Upadhyaya & Singh (1999)	
$\hat{S}_{4}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{S_{x}^{2} + \beta_{1x}}{s_{x}^{2} + \beta_{1x}} $ (2015)	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{4}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{4}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015) $S^2 \pm c$	1
$\hat{S}_{5}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{s_{x} + \rho}{s_{y}^{2} + \rho}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{5}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{5}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)	
$\hat{S}_{6}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{S_{x}^{2} + S_{x}}{s_{x}^{2} + S_{x}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{6}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{6}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)	1
$\hat{S}_{7}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{s_{x}^{2} + M_{d}}{s_{x}^{2} + M_{d}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{7}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{7}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$
Subraman & Rumarapandryan (2012a) $S^2 + 0$	1 (1)
$\hat{S}_{g}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{s_{x}^{2} + q_{1}}{s_{x}^{2} + q_{1}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{g}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{g}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2012b)	1
$\hat{S}_{9}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{S_{x}^{2} + Q_{3}}{s_{x}^{2} + Q_{3}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{9}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{9}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2012b)	
$\hat{S}_{10}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{S_{x}^{2} + Q_{r}}{s_{x}^{2} + Q_{r}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{10}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{10}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2012b)	1
$\hat{S}_{11}^2 = s_y^2 \frac{S_x^2 + Q_d}{s_x^2 + Q_d}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{11}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{11}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2012b)	

Volume 4 Issue 10, October 2016

www.ijser.in

ISSN (Online): 2347-3878, Impact Factor (2015): 3.791							
$\hat{S}_{12}^2 = s_y^2 \frac{S_x^2 + Q_a}{s_x^2 + Q_a}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{12}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{12}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2012b)	1						
$\hat{S}_{13}^2 = s_y^2 \frac{\beta_{2x} S_x^2 + C_x}{\beta_{2x} S_x^2 + C_x}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{12}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{13}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
Kadilar & Cingi (2006) $_{22}$ $_{2}C_{x}S_{x}^{2} + \beta_{2x}$	$\frac{1}{2} c^{4}[(a_{1}, a_{1}) + s^{2}(a_{2}, a_{1}) - s^{2}(a_{1}, a_{1})]$						
$S_{14}^{*} = S_y^{*} \frac{1}{C_x S_x^2 + \beta_{2x}}$ Kadilar & Cingi (2006)	$\frac{-S_{y}}{n}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+o_{14}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2o_{14}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
$\hat{S}_{15}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{\beta_{1x} S_{x}^{2} + C_{x}}{\beta_{1x} s_{x}^{2} + C_{x}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{15}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{15}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)	$\frac{1}{2} e^{4} [(0, -1) + 2^2 (0, -1) - 2^2 (1, -1)]$						
$S_{16}^{*} = S_y^* \frac{1}{C_x S_x^2 + \beta_{1x}}$ Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)	$\frac{-S_{y}}{n}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+o_{16}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2o_{16}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
$\hat{S}_{17}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{\rho S_{x}^{2} + C_{x}}{\rho s_{x}^{2} + C_{x}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{17}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{17}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)							
$S_{18}^2 = s_y^2 \frac{-x + x + r}{C_x s_x^2 + \rho}$	$\frac{-S_{y}}{n}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{19}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{19}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015) $S_{2}S_{2}S_{2}^{2} + C_{2}$							
$S_{19}^2 = s_y^2 \frac{x + x + x_x}{S_x S_x^2 + C_x}$ Subramani & Kumaranandiyan (2015)	$-S_{y}^{-}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{19}^{-}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{19}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
$\hat{s}^2 - s^2 \frac{C_x S_x^2 + S_x}{s^2}$	$\frac{1}{1-S^4}[(\beta_{22}-1)+\delta_{22}^2(\beta_{22}-1)-2\delta_{22}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
Subramani & Kumaranadiyan (2015)	$n^{5}y_{1}(r_{2}y_{1}) + \sigma_{20}(r_{2}y_{1}) + \sigma_{20}(r_{2}y_{1})$						
$\frac{c^2}{c^2} = c^2 \frac{M_d S_x^2 + C_x}{c^2}$	$\frac{1}{2} S^{4}[(\beta_{1} - 1) + \delta^{2}(\beta_{2} - 1) - 2\delta_{2}(\lambda_{2} - 1)]$						
$S_{21} = S_y \frac{1}{M_d s_x^2 + C_x}$ Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)	$n^{5y}[0^{2y}, 1) + 0^{21}(0^{2y}, 1) = 20^{21}(0^{2y}, 1)$						
$\hat{S}_{22}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{C_{x} S_{x}^{2} + M_{d}}{C_{x} s_{x}^{2} + M_{d}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{22}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{22}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2013)	1						
$\hat{S}_{22}^2 = s_y^2 \frac{\rho_{1x} s_x + \rho_{2x}}{\beta_{1y} s_y^2 + \beta_{2y}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{23}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{23}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)	1						
$\hat{S}_{24}^2 = s_y^2 \frac{\rho_{2x} s_x + \rho_{1x}}{\rho_{2y} s_x^2 + \rho_{1y}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{24}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{24}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)	1						
$\hat{S}_{25}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{\rho S_{x}^{2} + \beta_{2x}}{\rho s_{x}^{2} + \beta_{2x}} $ (2015)	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{25}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{25}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015) $_{22} _{2} \beta_{2r} S_r^2 + \rho$	$\frac{1}{2} c_{4}^{4} [(a + 1) + s_{2}^{2} ((a + 1) + 2s_{3}^{2} ((1 + 1))]$						
$S_{26}^{z} = s_{y} \frac{1}{\beta_{2x} s_{x}^{2} + \rho}$ Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)	$= \frac{-s_y[(p_{2y} - 1) + b_{26}(p_{2x} - 1) - 2b_{26}(\lambda_{22} - 1)]}{n}$						
$\hat{S}_{27}^2 = s_v^2 \frac{S_x S_x^2 + \beta_{2x}}{2 - 2 + 2 - 2}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{27}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{27}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)							
$\hat{S}_{2p}^2 = s_{y}^2 \frac{\beta_{2x} S_x^2 + S_x}{\beta_{2x} S_x^2 + S_x}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{\nu}^{4}[(\beta_{2\nu}-1)+\delta_{22}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{22}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)	n						
$\hat{S}_{22}^2 = s_{z_{1}}^2 \frac{M_d S_x^2 + \beta_{2x}}{2}$	$\frac{1}{-S_{\nu}^{4}}[(\beta_{2\nu}-1)+\delta_{2\rho}^{2}(\beta_{2\nu}-1)-2\delta_{2\rho}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
Subramani & Kumaranandiyan (2015)	n 900 29 9 294 24 7 294 22 7 1						
$\hat{S}_{30}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{\beta_{2x} S_{x}^{2} + M_{d}}{\beta_{2y} S_{y}^{2} + M_{d}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{30}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{30}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)	1						
$\hat{S}_{31}^2 = s_y^2 \frac{\rho s_x + \beta_{1x}}{\rho s_x^2 + \beta_{1x}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{31}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{31}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$						
$\hat{c}_{2} = -2\beta_{1x}S_{x}^{2} + \rho$	$\frac{1}{2} S^{4}[(\beta_{1} - 1) + \delta^{2}(\beta_{2} - 1) - 2\delta_{1}(\beta_{2} - 1)]$						
$S_{32} = S_y \frac{1}{\beta_{1x} S_x^2 + \rho}$	$\frac{-3}{n} \frac{5}{3} \frac{1}{2} \left[ (p_{2y} - 1) + 0_{32} (p_{2x} - 1) - 20_{32} (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$						
Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)							

Volume 4 Issue 10, October 2016 Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

www.ijser.in

ISSN (Online): 2347-3878, Impact Factor (2015): 3.791						
	$\hat{S}_{33}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{S_{x} S_{x}^{2} + \beta_{1x}}{S_{x} s_{x}^{2} + \beta_{1x}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{33}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{33}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$				
	Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)					
	$\hat{S}_{24}^2 = s_y^2 \frac{\beta_{1x} S_x^2 + S_x}{\beta_{1x} s_x^2 + S_x}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{34}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{34}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$				
	Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)					
	$\hat{S}_{35}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{M_{d} S_{x}^{2} + \beta_{1x}}{M_{d} s_{x}^{2} + \beta_{1x}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{35}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{35}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$				
	Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)					
	$\hat{S}_{36}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{\beta_{1x} S_{x}^{2} + M_{d}}{\beta_{1x} s_{x}^{2} + M_{d}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{36}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{36}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$				
	Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)					
	$\hat{S}_{27}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{S_{x}S_{x}^{2} + \rho}{S_{x}s_{x}^{2} + \rho}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{37}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{37}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$				
	Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)					
	$\hat{S}_{38}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{\rho S_{x}^{2} + S_{x}}{\rho s_{x}^{2} + S_{x}}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{3g}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{3g}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$				
	Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)					
	$\hat{S}_{29}^2 = s_y^2 \frac{M_d S_x^2 + \rho}{M_d s_x^2 + \rho}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{39}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{39}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$				
	Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)					
	$\hat{S}_{40}^2 = s_y^2 \frac{\rho S_x^2 + M_d}{\rho s_x^2 + M_d}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{40}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{40}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$				
	Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)					
	$\hat{S}_{41}^2 = s_y^2 \frac{M_d S_x^2 + S_x}{M_d s_x^2 + S_x}$	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{41}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{41}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$				
	Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)					
	$\hat{S}_{42}^2 = s_y^2 \frac{S_x S_x^2 + M_d}{S_x s_x^2 + M_d}$ Subramani & Kumarapandiyan (2015)	$\frac{1}{n}S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2y}-1)+\delta_{41}^{2}(\beta_{2x}-1)-2\delta_{42}(\lambda_{22}-1)]$				

where 
$$\begin{split} \delta_i &= \frac{S_x^2}{S_x^2 + w_i} \\ i - 1, 2, \dots, 42; \ and \ w_1 &= 1, w_2 = C_x, w_3 = \beta_{2x}, w_4 = \\ \beta_{1x}, w_5 &= \rho, w_6 = S_x, w_7 = M_d, w_8 = Q_1, w_9 = Q_3, w_{10} = \\ Q_r, w_{11} &= Q_d, w_{12} = Q_d, w_{13} = \frac{C_x}{\beta_{2x}}, w_{14} = \frac{\beta_{2x}}{C_x}, w_{15} = \\ \frac{C_x}{\beta_{1x}}, w_{16} &= \frac{\beta_{1x}}{C_x}, w_{17} = \frac{C_x}{\rho}, w_{18} = \frac{\rho}{C_x}, w_{19} = \frac{C_x}{S_x}, w_{20} = \\ \frac{S_x}{C_x}, w_{21} = \frac{C_x}{M_d}, w_{22} = \frac{M_d}{C_x}, w_{23} = \frac{\beta_{2x}}{\beta_{1x}}, w_{24} = \frac{\beta_{1x}}{\beta_{2x}}, w_{25} = \frac{\beta_{2x}}{\rho} \end{split}$$
 $\begin{array}{l} & \\ w_{32} = \frac{\rho}{\beta_{1x}}, w_{33} = \frac{\beta_{1x}}{s_x}, w_{34} = \frac{s_x}{\beta_{1x}}, w_{35} = \frac{\beta_{1x}}{M_d}, w_{36} = \\ & \\ \frac{M_d}{\beta_{1x}}, w_{37} = \frac{\rho}{s_x}, w_{38} = \frac{s_x}{\rho}, w_{39} = \frac{\rho}{M_d}, w_{40} = \frac{M_d}{\rho}, w_{34} = \\ & \\ \frac{s_x}{M_d}, w_{33} = \frac{M_d}{s_x}. \end{array}$ 

We corresponding hereintroducedthe product-type estimators by using the same amount of known information  $w_i$ ; i = 1, 2, ..., 42 as used in above modified ratio-type estimators as

 $\hat{S}_{ip}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \frac{s_{x}^{2} + w_{i}}{S_{x}^{2} + w_{i}} (i = 1, 2, ..., 42)$ 

and to terms of order  $n^{-1}$  their MSE's are given as  $MSE(\hat{S}_{ip}^2) = \frac{1}{n} S_y^4 [(\beta_{2y} - 1) + \delta_i^2 (\beta_{2x} - 1) + 2\delta_i (\lambda_{22} - 1)]$ where  $\delta_i = \frac{n}{s_x^2 + w_i}$ ; i = 1, 2, ..., 42.

Srivasthava and Jhajj (1980) defined a class of estimator for population variance using the known information of population mean and population variance of auxiliary variable as - -2 h ( --

where 
$$h(u, v)$$
 is a function of  $u = \frac{x}{x}$  and  $v = \frac{s_x^2}{s_x^2}$  and satisfy

certain regularity conditions. Up to the terms of order  $n^{-1}$ , minimum MSE of this class of estimators is

$$MSE_{min}(T_{h42}) = \frac{1}{n}S_y^2 \left[ \left(\beta_{2y} - 1\right) - \lambda_{21}^2 - \frac{\left(\lambda_{22} - \lambda_{21}\beta_{1x} - 1\right)^2}{\beta_{2x} - \beta_{1x}^2 - 1} \right]$$

We compare proposed estimators with the existing estimators in form of theorems as:

**Theorem 1:** Up to the terms of order  $n^{-1}$  $MSE(\hat{S}_{VOR}^2) < MSE(S_{iR}^2), i = 1, 2, ..., 42.$ 

if  $(\lambda_{22}-1) = \begin{cases} >\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\delta_p + \delta_i\right) \left(\beta_{2x} - 1\right) - \frac{\theta_1}{\left(\delta_p - \delta_i\right)} \right\} for \ \delta_p > \delta_i \ i.e. \ M_x < w_i \\ < \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\delta_p + \delta_i\right) \left(\beta_{2x} - 1\right) + \frac{\theta_1}{\left(\delta_i - \delta_p\right)} \right\} for \ \delta_p < \delta_i \ i.e. \ M_x > w_i \end{cases}$ 

#### Volume 4 Issue 10, October 2016

<u>www.ijser.in</u>

where 
$$\theta_1 = -\frac{1}{(S_x^2 + M_x)} \{ 2S_x \lambda_{21} - \delta_p - 2\delta_p \beta_{1x} \} - \frac{\{ S_x \beta_x / (S_x^2 + M_x) + \delta_p (\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3)) - (\lambda_{23} - 3\lambda_{21} - \beta_{1x} \lambda_{22}) \}^2}{\lambda_x}$$

**Theorem 2:** Up to the terms of order  $n^{-1}$   $MSE(\hat{S}_{yop}^2) < MSE(S_{ip}^2), i = 1, 2, ..., 42.$ If

$$(\lambda_{22} - 1) = \begin{cases} < -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\delta_p + \delta_i)(\beta_{2x} - 1) - \frac{\delta_2}{(\delta_p - \delta_i)} \right\} \text{ for } \delta_p > \delta_i \text{ i.e.} M_x < w_i \\ > -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\delta_p + \delta_i)(\beta_{2x} - 1) + \frac{\theta_2}{(\delta_i - \delta_p)} \right\} \text{ for } \delta_p < \delta_i \text{ i.e.} M_x > w_i \\ \text{where } \theta_2 = \frac{1}{(s_x^2 + M_x)} \left\{ 2S_x \lambda_{21} + \delta_p + 2\delta_p \beta_{1x} \right\} \\ - \frac{\{S_x \beta_x / (S_x^2 + M_x) + \delta_p (\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x} (\beta_{2x} + 3)) + (\lambda_{23} - 3\lambda_{21} - \beta_{1x} \lambda_{22}) \right\}^2$$

Α

**Theorem 3:** Up to the terms of order  $n^{-1}$   $MSE(\hat{S}_{yg}^2) < MSE(T_{h42})$ if  $\{\{\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x}(\beta_{2x} - 1)\}C - (\lambda_{22} - 1)B - \beta_{1x}A\}^2 = \{2(BC(\lambda_{22} - 3\lambda_{21} - \beta_{1x}))\}$ 

λx

$$\frac{\{\lambda_{05} - \beta_{1x}(\beta_{2x} - 1)\}C - (\lambda_{22} - 1)B - \beta_{1x}A\}}{(\beta_{2x} - 1)B^2} + \frac{\{2(BC(\lambda_{22} - 3\lambda_{21} - \beta_{1x}\lambda_{22}) + AC\beta_x - AB\lambda_{21}) - A^2 - C^2\lambda_x}{B^2}}{B^2}$$

$$> \lambda_{21}^2 + \frac{(\lambda_{22} - \lambda_{21}\beta_{1x} - 1)^2}{\beta_{2x} - \beta_{1x}^2 - 1}.$$

## 6. Empirical Study

We have taken 5 natural populations (where first 4 populations have positive correlation and  $5^{th}$  population has negative correlation) to show the efficiency of the proposed estimators over the existing estimators in Table 2.The descriptions of the populations are described below.

Pop. I: Murthy (1967), p-398 y= Number of absentees &x= Number of workers  $\overline{Y} = 9.6512, \lambda_{22} = 2.7094, \beta_{2y} = 6.5387, \rho = 0.6608.$ 

Pop. II: Chakravarty et al.(1967), p-207 y= Weight (kg) of female&x= Height (cm) of female  $\bar{Y} = 28.5313, \lambda_{22} = 1.3731, \beta_{2y} = 2.2575, \rho = 0.2306.$  Pop. III: Chochran (1999), p-325 y= Total number of persons&x= Average persons per room  $\overline{Y}$  = 101.1000,  $\lambda_{22}$  = 1.5433,  $\beta_{2y}$  = 2.3523,  $\rho$  = 0.6515.

Pop. IV: Singh (2003), p-1126 y= Crude Death Rate&x= Total Fertility Rate  $\overline{Y} = 10.8716, \lambda_{22} = 1.4154, \beta_{2y} = 3.6527, \rho = 0.5493.$ 

Pop. V: Maddala&Lahiri(1992),p-96 y= Deflated prices of veal&x= Consumption per capital of veal

$$\bar{\gamma} = 7.6375, \lambda_{22} = 0.8697, \beta_{2y} = 1.4348, \rho = -0.6823$$

**Table 2:**  $\frac{1}{n} \times MSE$  and Efficiencies for existing & proposed ratio-type estimators, induced product-type estimators and class of estimators of  $S_v^2$ 

Ratio-type Estimator	Pop. I	Pop.II	Pop.III	Pop.IV	Product-type Estimator	Pop.V
82	9832.8371	4.1239	62330.1456	1874.5592	$\hat{S}_{y}^{2}$	2708.1634
Jy	100	100	100	100		100
ê2	6625.5930	11.5193	69348.4784	1828.3506	$\hat{S}_{1p}^{2}$	4579.5978
5 1R	148.4069	35.7999	89.8796	102.5273		59.1354
ê2	6625.7138	11.4880	69204.3612	1770.8799	ê2	4366.3591
3 2R	148.4042	35.8975	90.0668	105.8547	5 <u>2</u> p	62.0234
$\hat{S}_{3R}^{2}$	6626.2970	8.5750	66997.7417	1721.3209	Ŝ <sup>2</sup> 3p	3690.3141
	148.3911	48.0921	93.0332	108.9024		73.3857
ê2	6625.7176	10.8328	69083.6625	1791.3313	$\hat{S}_{4p}^{2}$	4573.0726
34R	148.4041	38.0686	90.2241	104.6461		59.2198
Ŝ <sup>2</sup> <sub>5R</sub>	6625.7692	11.2885	68628.2375	1768.2670	Ŝ <sup>2</sup> <sub>5P</sub>	5659.1956
	148.4030	36.5319	90.8229	106.0111		47.8542
Ŝ <sup>2</sup> <sub>6R</sub>	6636.9948	8.5208	62619.4275	1720.7463	Ŝ <sup>2</sup> <sub>6P</sub>	3609.0639
	148.1519	48.3980	99.5380	108.9387		75.0378
$\hat{S}_{7R}^{2}$	6652.0510	3.9733	51528.6804	1715.2867	Ŝ <sup>2</sup> <sub>7P</sub>	2985.4082
	147.8166	103.7903	120.9620	109.2855		90.7133

Volume 4 Issue 10, October 2016

ISSN (Online): 2347-3878, Impact Factor (2015): 3.791

ĉ 2	6643.3136	3.9731	51701.8940	1720.4807	ê 2	3050.1771
J SR	148.0110	103.7955	120.5568	108.9555	5 SP	88.7871
ê2	6662.6405	3.9735	51447.2112	1730.6888	ê2	2933.1902
2 <sub>98</sub>	147.5817	103.7851	121.1536	108.3129	295	92.3283
2.7	6639.5861	9.4574	61966.1378	1717.9022	£ 2	3276.0327
Sior	148.0941	43.6050	100.5874	109,1191	Siop	82,6659
	6621.0604	10.2764	65150 1044	1720 5651		2612 0264
$\hat{S}_{11R}^2$	0051.9094	10.5764	05159.1944	1720.3031	$\hat{S}_{11P}^2$	5012.0204
	148.2642	39.7431	95.6583	108.9502		/4.9/63
Ŝ207	6652.5003	3.9733	51550.1126	1717.9347	Ŝ <sup>2</sup> on	2980.2724
- 128	147.8066	103.7903	120.9118	109.1170	- 12P	90.8697
ê 2	6625.6392	11.5115	69283.9533	1791.3311	ê 2	4426.4097
J 13R	148.4059	35.8242	89.9633	104.6462	J 13P	61.1819
<u>.</u> 2	6627.1717	3.9727	57420.2897	1716.8799	<u> </u>	3029.2716
S <sub>14R</sub>	148 3715	103 8060	108 5507	109 1841	S <sub>14P</sub>	89 3998
	6625 9521	11 4757	60746 9467	1721 2209		2765 4921
$\hat{S}^{2}_{15R}$	0025.8521	11.4/5/	08/40.840/	1721.3208	$\hat{S}_{15P}^2$	2705.4831
	148.4011	35.9359	90.6662	108.9024		97.9273
Ŝ <sup>2</sup>	6625.8684	4.8297	67387.4631	1766.9741	Ŝ1.cn	4550.3258
- 104	148.4007	85.3863	92.4952	106.0887	- 102	59.5158
ê 2	6625.7761	11.3848	69127.7771	1744.7859	ê2	4989.8752
J 17R	148.4028	36.2229	90.1666	107.4378	-9 17P	54.2732
ê2	6625.9831	7.1434	64462.8835	1739.3270	82	140502.2139
S <sub>18R</sub>	148.3982	57,7302	96.6915	107,7750	S isp	1.9275
	6625 5964	11 5117	69329 2784	1792 0142		4447 7655
S <sub>19R</sub>	149 4069	25 0226	80 004E	104 6062	S <sub>19P</sub>	60 8882
	146.4006	55.6250	69.9045	104.0005		00.0002
\$200	6654.9915	3.9732	51507.4314	1/1/.2306	\$20p	2984.1072
- 208	147.7513	103.7929	121.0119	109.9230	201-	90.7529
ê 2	6625.5947	11.5191	69345.9831	1803.7968	ê2	4548.9315
J 21R	148.4069	35.8005	89.8828	103.9230	- 21F	59.5341
0.7	6698.3923	4.1146	57459.2257	1731.1195	0.2	2768.3310
S <sub>22R</sub>	146 7940	100 2260	109 4772	109 2960	S <sub>22P</sub>	07 8266
	140.7940	100.2200	100.4772	108.2800		97.8200
Ŝ220	6627.1232	7.8402	61345.0421	1/35.25/2	Ŝ <sup>2</sup> 222	2/16./043
- 248	148.3726	52.5994	101.6058	108.0277	205	99.6856
ĉ 2	6625.6406	11.3392	69229.6779	1805.7199	ĉ2	4575.0458
<sup>3</sup> 24R	148.4058	36.3685	90.0339	103.8123	<sup>3</sup> 24P	59.1942
ê2	6626.6663	5.3083	65874.5118	1716.0757	82	22828.1889
S 25R	148.3829	77.6878	94.6195	109.2352	S <sub>25P</sub>	11.8632
2.7	6625.6603	11.4607	69022.9144	1789.3581	0.2	5239.0876
S <sub>26R</sub>	148 4054	35 9830	90 3036	104 7615	S <sub>26P</sub>	51 6915
	6625 6122	10 6069	60016 1040	1742 0071		2040 0125
$\hat{S}_{27R}^2$	0023.0123	10.0008	09010.1049	1743.0071	Ŝ <sub>27</sub> p	3340.0133
	148.4065	38.8/98	90.3125	107.5474		68.7349
\$200	6629.5359	10.5627	65938.7927	1741.0640	\$200	3789.7640
- 205	148.3186	39.0421	94.5273	107.6674	205	71.4599
ĉ 2	6625.6025	11.4889	69304.9324	1763.0768	<u>ê</u> 2	4394.4801
29R	148.4067	35.8946	89.9361	106.3232	P 29P	61.6265
ê 2	6634.1287	4.4081	54862.9531	1723.8978	ê2	3095.3165
2 30R	148.2160	93.5528	113.6106	108.7396	- 30F	87.4923
82	6625.7817	9.0747	68943.7130	1769.6135	ê2	4589.2316
S <sub>31R</sub>	148 4027	45 4439	90 4073	105 9304	S <sub>31P</sub>	59 0113
	6625 9714	11 2000	66500 6409	1719 7693		2690 6724
S <sub>32R</sub>	1/9 209/	26 8205	02 7286	100 0006	S <sub>32P</sub>	100 6501
-	140.3304	30.8203	55.7200	109.0000		4575 7202
Ŝ <sup>2</sup> 238	0025.5905	11.3437	09313.0884	1806.1728	$\hat{S}^2_{33P}$	4575.7202
	148.4068	36.3541	89.9255	103.7863		59.1855
Ŝ2	6653.9033	7.7805	53673.6322	1736.3317	\$2.r	2715.4288
- 94K	147.7755	53.0030	116.1281	107.9609	- 692	99.7324
ê2	6625.5947	11.5139	69343.8772	1813.7519	ĉ2	4578.7370
S 25R	148.4069	35.8167	89.8856	103.3526	3 35P	59.1465
82	6695.5519	3.9888	54938.9042	1761.3520	82	2709.7658
S 36R	148.8563	103,3870	113,4536	106.4273	536P	99,9409
	6625 5979	11 4622	69251 07/19	1790 0687		5118 1444
S <sub>37R</sub>	1/10 /060	25 0702	00 0060	10/ 7200	S <sub>37P</sub>	57 0120
	L40.4000	53.3705	60122 4007	1716 2200		77211 6702
Ŝ <sup>2</sup> 388	147 0025	3.2013	102 05 47	100 2400	Ŝ <sup>2</sup> 38P	77211.0703
82	147.9925	/8.3/28	103.654/	109.2190	82	3.50/5
S 39R	6625.5954	11.5176	69335.7944	1802.3832	S 39P	4680.1845

Volume 4 Issue 10, October 2016

ISSN (Online): 2347-3878, Impact Factor (2015): 3.791							
	148.4068	35.8052	89.8961	104.0045		57.8645	
ê2	6670.2155	4.0627	51487.4549	1728.4844	Ŝ <sup>2</sup> <sub>40P</sub>	2665.1590	
540R	147.4141	101.5064	121.0589	108.4510		101.6136	
$\hat{S}_{41R}^{2}$	6625.7246	11.4881	69202.3819	1762.1034	$\hat{S}_{41P}^{2}$	4365.4111	
	148.4040	35.8971	90.0694	106.3819		62.0368	
ê2	6626.1371	4.4323	62505.3518	1724.5890	ê2	3153.5927	
5 <sub>42R</sub>	148.3947	93.0420	99.7197	108.6960	5 <sub>42P</sub>	85.8755	
$\hat{S}_{yoR}^2$	6091.8894	3.8199	49386.5649	1647.0581	<sup>22</sup> - (Droposed)	2629.1413	
(Proposed)	161.4087	107.9583	126.2087	113.8126	Jop(110posed)	103.0056	
T <sub>h43</sub>	6559.2363	3.6959	48053.8622	1550.6839	T <sub>h43</sub>	2259.4322	
	149.9083	111.5804	129.7089	120.8860		119.8604	
$\hat{S}_{yg}^2$ (Proposed)	5167.7832	3.5061	34790.0686	1548.5232	$\hat{S}_{yg}^{2}$ (Proposed)	2191.2309	
	190.2719	117.6207	179.1607	121.0546		123.5910	

For graphical representation, we have considered the most efficient estimator among the ratio-type estimators taken by Subramani and kumarapandiyan (2015)&induced producttype estimators, optimum estimator of  $T_{h42}$  and the optimum estimator of the proposed class of estimators'  $S_{yg}^2$ .



Figure 1: The bar graph shows the performance of proposed estimators and exciting optimum estimators

## 7. Conclusion

From Table 2 and bar chart, we conclude that the performance of proposed ratio-type  $(\hat{S}_{yoR}^2)$  and product-type  $(\hat{S}_{yoP}^2)$  estimators is better than the existing modified ratiotype  $(S_{iR}^2)$  and induced product-type  $(S_{iR}^2)$  estimators for respectively. i = 1, 2, ..., 42Also the proposed optimum estimator of class  $(\hat{S}_{yg}^2)$  is more efficient than all the existing estimators and even from the class of estimators of Srivastava and Jhajj (1980) ( $T_{h43}$ ), in which they have used the known information of population mean and population variance of auxiliary variable x. From this study, we conclude that to estimate population variance  $(S_v^2)$ the known information of population variance  $(S_x^2)$  along with population mode  $(M_x)$  is optimum rather than use of known  $\bar{S}_x^2$  along with any other population parameter such that  $C_x, \beta_{1x}, \beta_{2x}, \rho, M_d, Q_1, Q_3$ , etc.

## References

[1] Chakravarty, I. M., Laha, R. G., & Roy, J. (1967). Handbook of Methods of Applied Statistics: Techniques of Computation, Descriptive Methods, and Statistical Inference. John Wiley & Sons.

- [2] Cochran, W. G. (1999). *Sampling Techniques (*Vol.3). John Wiley & Sons.
- [3] Gujarati, D. N. (2004). *Basic Econometrics*.Mc. Graw Hills Pub. Co, New York.
- [4] Isaki, C.T. 1983. Variance estimation using auxiliary information. *Journal of the American SepStatistical Association*, 78: 117-123.
- [5] Kadilar, C. and Cingi, H. 2006. Ratio estimators for population variance in simple and Extratified sampling. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 173: 1047-1058.
- [6] Kumar S. (2015). An estimator of the mean estimation of study variable using median of auxiliary variable. *Sri Lankan Journal of Applied Statistics*, Vol (16-2),107-115.
- [7] Maddala, G. S., &Lahiri, K. (1992). *Introduction to econometrics* (Vol. 2). New York: Macmillan.
- [8] Murthy, M. N. (1967). *Sampling theory and methods*. Statistical Publishing Society, Calcutta.
- [9] Sharma, M.K., Brar, S.S. &Kaur, H. (2016). Estimators of population mode using new parametric relationship mode. *International Journal of Probability and Statistics*, 5(1), 18-24.
- [10] Singh, S. (2003). Advanced Sampling Theory With Applications: How Michael"" Selected"" Amy (Vol. 2). Springer Science & Business Media.

## Volume 4 Issue 10, October 2016

International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Research (IJSER) <u>www.ijser.in</u> ISSN (Online): 2347-3878, Impact Factor (2015): 3.791

- [11] Srivastava, S. K. (1980). A class of estimator using auxiliary information in sample survey. *Canad. Jour. Stat. N, pp.*232-254.
- [12] Srivastava, S. K., &Jhajj, H. S. (1980). A class of estimators using auxiliary information for estimating finite population variance. *Sankhya*, *C*, 42(1-2), 87-96.
- [13] Subramani J. &Kumarapandiyan G. (2012a). Variance estimation using median of the auxiliary variable. *International Journal of Probability and Statistics*, Vol. 1(3),36-40.
- [14] Subramani J. &Kumarapandiyan G. (2012b). Variance estimation using quartiles and their functions of an auxiliary variable. *Internation Journal of Statistics and Applications*, Vol. 2(5), 67-42.
- [15] Subramani J. &Kumarapandiyan G. (2013). New modified ratio estimator for estimation of population mean when median of the auxiliary variable is known. *Pak. J. Stat. Oper. Res.* Vol.IX No.2, pp.137-145.
- [16] Subramani J. &Kumarapandiyan G. (2015). A class of modified ratio estimators for estimation of population variance.
- [17] Upadhyaya, L.N. and Singh, H.P. 1999. An estimator for population variance that utilizes the kurtosis of an auxiliary variable in sample surveys. *Vikram Mathematical Journal*, 19, 14-17.

## **Author Profile**

Harinder Kaur can be contacted at E-mail: harindercheema33@gmail.com

M.K. Sharma can be contacted at E-mail: drmksharma2004@yahoo.com